## 2016 Legislative Platform Planks Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform Dept.</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015 Ag.</td>
<td>Agriculture/Williamson Act Subvention</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Ag.</td>
<td>Funding For Exclusion And Early Pest Detection Programs Against High Risk Pests</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Ag.</td>
<td>Marketplace Equity and Consumer Protection</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Ag.</td>
<td>Pesticide Law Enforcement Activities</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CSD</td>
<td>County Library Services</td>
<td>Efficient Service Delivery /Operations</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CSD</td>
<td>Parks/Open Space</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 GS</td>
<td>Historic Santa Barbara County Courthouse</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 GS</td>
<td>Veterans Memorial Buildings</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PH</td>
<td>Ocean Water Testing</td>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>Hollister/State Street Improvements Project</td>
<td>Inter-Agency Collaboration</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding Request</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CEO</td>
<td>Economic Enhancement Revenue Diversification</td>
<td>Job Growth/Economic Vitality</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CEO</td>
<td>Homelessness</td>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>Sustainable Infrastructure Funding</td>
<td>Fiscal Stability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>US Highway 101 - Regional</td>
<td>Job Growth/Economic Vitality</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>Lower Mission Creek</td>
<td>Job Growth/Economic Vitality</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Funding &amp; Legislative</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CSD</td>
<td>Sustainable Groundwater Management Funding</td>
<td>Job Growth/Economic Vitality</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding &amp; Legislative</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CSD</td>
<td>Empower/Building Efficiency</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Funding, Legislative, &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CSD</td>
<td>Energy and Climate Action</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Funding, Legislative, &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 OEM</td>
<td>Seismic Monitoring</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding, Legislative, &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 P&amp;D</td>
<td>Oil Research and Infrastructure</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding, Legislative, &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>Fiscal Stability</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Funding, Legislative, &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>Resource Recovery Project</td>
<td>Efficient Service Delivery /Operations</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding, Legislative, &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>Support for Waste Recovery Programs &amp; Facilities</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Funding, Legislative, &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4B: Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CEO</td>
<td>Improving Local Governance: Unincorporated Powers</td>
<td>Efficient Service Delivery /Operations</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Legislative &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4C: Legislative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 P&amp;D</td>
<td>Land Use: Enforcement Fines for Land Use Violations</td>
<td>Local Control</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Legislative &amp; Advocacy</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Item 4C: Legislative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CEO</td>
<td>Regional Coastal Management Projects-Federal Authority For California</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Legislative Proposal</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4C: Legislative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 P&amp;D</td>
<td>Public Hearing Notices</td>
<td>Efficient Service Delivery /Operations</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Legislative Proposal</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4C: Legislative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CEO</td>
<td>Air Quality-Marine Vessel Emissions</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CEO</td>
<td>Comprehensive Immigration Reform</td>
<td>Job Growth/Economic Vitality</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CEO</td>
<td>Tribal Gaming Compacts &amp; Land Use</td>
<td>Local Control</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 CSD</td>
<td>Arts Commission</td>
<td>Job Growth/Economic Vitality</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 DSS</td>
<td>Safety Net Preservation</td>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 DSS</td>
<td>Senior Services-Older Americans Act Reauthorization</td>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 DSS</td>
<td>Social Services TANF Reauthorization</td>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 SSL/P</td>
<td>Subsidized Child Care</td>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 P&amp;D</td>
<td>Telecommunications Legislation</td>
<td>Local Control</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PH</td>
<td>Access to Quality Health Services</td>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PH</td>
<td>Health in our Community</td>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>Extended Producer Responsibility</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 PW</td>
<td>Household Hazardous Waste</td>
<td>Community Sustainability</td>
<td>F/S</td>
<td>Targeted Advocacy</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Item 4D: TA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Updated: 09/10/15
AGRICULTURE/WILLIAMSON ACT SUBVENTION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
California's agricultural sector is the most important in the United States, leading the nation's production in over 77 different products including dairy and a number of fruit and vegetable "specialty" crops. The large variety of crops grown allows California to be on the leading edge of agricultural markets and technology. California produces almost twice as much as its closest competitor, Texas, and is the sole U.S. producer of crops such as almonds, artichokes, persimmons, raisins, and walnuts.

Locally, agriculture continues to be the County's major producing industry. The 2014 gross production was valued at $1.5 billion. This is a $53 million (3.71%) increase in gross value when compared with the 2013 figures and is the ninth year in a row that agriculture has surpassed the one billion dollar benchmark. The County is the second largest producer in the state for broccoli and cauliflower as well as having a high production of strawberries, wine grapes, lettuce, and other produce. Farming and ranching operations are also one of the most important industries and economic drivers in the County.

The California Land Conservation Act of 1965, also known as the Williamson Act, allows local governments to enter into ten year contracts with private landowners for the purpose of restricting specific parcels of land to agricultural or related open space use. Landowners are assessed property taxes based upon farming and open space uses as opposed to full market value of the land. Local government in exchange receives an annual subvention of forgone property tax revenues from the State via the Open Space Subvention Act of 1971. Approximately 550,000 acres of land are enrolled within the Williamson Act within the County of Santa Barbara. The FY 2009-10 state budget reduced the Williamson Act Subvention payments from $39 million to $1,000, effectively suspending the payments to local governments during an already difficult economic time. There have been no subvention payments to the County since then. Historically, the County had received an annual subvention payment from the State of approximately $653,000.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:

☑ Funding Request        ☐ Legislative Proposal        ☐ Targeted Advocacy

Action: The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests its State delegation, the Legislature, and the Administration to restore the funding for subvention reimbursement to counties who continue to have a Williamson Act program.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
The Williamson Act program has been an effective tool to preserve farmland and open space. It assists local government with general plan and zoning objectives and promotes orderly growth.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
There has been no appropriation of subvention funds for the Williamson Act program since FY 2011-12.

CONTACT
Cathleen M. Fisher, Agricultural Commissioner, Department of Agriculture, (805) 681-5600
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant to the CEO, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
FUNDING FOR EXCLUSION AND EARLY PEST DETECTION PROGRAMS AGAINST HIGH RISK PESTS

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

California's impressive and valuable agricultural production, which California's County Agricultural Commissioners are entrusted to promote and protect include (based on latest USDA national agricultural statistics information):

- California is ranked #1 in the United States in cash receipts at $42.6 billion for their output in 2012
- California represents 11.9 percent of total U.S. agricultural revenue
- There are approximately 77,857 farms and ranches and 25,569,001 total acres.
- The largest dairy industry in the nation
- More than 400 commodities are grown in California
- California produces about half of the U.S. grown fruits, nuts and vegetables
- Many crops are solely produced in California

There are a number of significant invasive species being introduced and detected in California that threaten agriculture and the environment. Continued funding of Pest Exclusion, Pest Detection trapping and Survey Activities, Rapid Response, Pest Management and Eradication (if feasible), and Public Education Outreach Programs is critical in protecting California's resources. Unique in the United States to California, County Commissioners and Sealers provide the first line of defense against the introduction of injurious plant and animal pests.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy:

☑ Funding Request ☐ Legislative Proposal ☐ Targeted Advocacy

Action: The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests its federal delegation, the Legislature, and the Administration to:

- Protect existing revenue sources and enhance state and federal funding of Pest Prevention Program activities.
- Provide full cost recovery for new Invasive Pest programs and maintain or enhance funding for current Invasive Pest programs.
- Support all reasonable efforts by the California Department of Food and Agriculture, County Agricultural Commissioners, and the agricultural industry to acquire funding and to prevent the introduction and potential spread of invasive pests in California.
- Support Legislation and/or state and federal programs that provide for effective pest management and eradication activities.
- Provide local flexibility to enhance the Agricultural Commissioner's ability to respond to pest emergencies and high priority local pest exclusion pathways.
• Support research on invasive species pathways as well as funding mechanisms to close potential pathways.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
In addition to benefits to California agriculture, early pest detection and surveillance programs benefit the natural ecosystem, consumer interests, and human health, as well as agriculture in states other than California.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
A reduction or elimination of federal and state funding for the State and counties to perform early pest detection and surveillance activities will result in either an additional cost to local governments to cover the costs of these critical pest exclusion activities or a reduction or elimination of these services all together. This will lead to the agricultural industry, the public and environment being put at risk for further invasive pest infestations. California agricultural losses to exotic pests exceed $3 billion annually.

CONTACT
Cathleen M. Fisher, Agricultural Commissioner, Department of Agriculture, (805) 681-5600
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
MARKET PLACE EQUITY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Weights and Measures Programs are important to businesses and consumers in California. Weights and Measures ensure there is a “level playing field”, maintaining fair competition for business and industries. Likewise, these programs are critical in providing protection for consumers and a method for making value comparisons.

The Division of Measurement Standards (DMS) within the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) is the lead agency for weights and measures and works closely with county sealers of weights and measures who carry out the vast majority of weights and measures enforcement activities at the local level. County sealers of weights and measures have a variety of programs that protect consumers and provide an equitable marketplace for local business. Examples of programs consist of Device Registration & Inspection, Price & Quantity Control, Weighmaster, Service Agents, and Petroleum Products. DMS provides the regulatory oversight for county sealers for weights and measures programs in addition to the California Type Evaluation Program and Metrology. The California Type Evaluation Program tests, evaluates and approves all new commercial weighting and measuring devices to be used for commercial purposes in California. The State Metrology laboratory keeps and maintains standards of weight, volume, time, temperature, and length that are used to ensure accuracy for weights and measures in the state of California. These physical standards form the legal and scientific basis for commercial transactions in California involving weights and measure. The values of these standards are traceable to national and international standards. Without these standards, county sealers could not test commercial devices for accuracy and businesses would be at a disadvantage globally without proof of accurate measurement standards. DMS has taken significant general fund reductions that have diminished their role in consumer protection, promoting an equitable marketplace and support services for county sealers. General fund support for all programs except Metrology has been eliminated.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:
- Funding Request
- Legislative Proposal
- Targeted Advocacy

Action:
- Support legislation that preserves and enhances funding resources for weights and measures programs at the state (DMS) and local (Sealer) level for consumer protection.
- Encourage the use of state general fund for the Division of Measurement Standards.
- Support legislation which assures clear labeling and accuracy of the net quantity of package products to promote value comparison and to ensure the consumer receives the correct product and the quantity of product for which they pay.
- Oppose legislation that would exempt certain commercial weighing and measuring devices from the California Type Evaluation tests.
- Oppose legislation that would diminish or remove protections now afforded to consumers for transactions measured by weighing or measuring devices.
- Support legislation that establishes funding for quantity control and package inspection.
PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Weights and measures programs serve the people of California by aggressively preserving and defending the measurement standards essential in providing the citizens a basis of value comparison and fair competition in the marketplace.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
During the 2011-12 fiscal year, CDFA experienced a $19 million General Fund reduction. Funding for many important programs was affected including those in DMS. An industry led consortium guided CDFA through the reduction process, in which alternatives were considered, including reduction and elimination of programs, and other funding sources. The Device Administrative Fee was authorized by the California Legislature in Assembly Bill 120 and signed by Governor Brown on July 26, 2011. This fee is to fund the DMS Device Compliance Program which provides oversight and training to county weights and measures officials in areas of commercial weighing and measuring device inspection. However, other weights and measures programs continue to lack the necessary funding to provide adequate services.

CONTACT
Cathleen M. Fisher, Agricultural Commissioner, Department of Agriculture, (805) 681-5600
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant to the CEO, County Executive Office, (805)368-3400
PESTICIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The size and diversity of California agriculture, and the State's increasing urbanization, require a more complex partnership between state and local pesticide regulatory authorities than anywhere else in the nation. The California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) is vested with primary authority through the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) to enforce federal and state laws pertaining to the proper and safe use of pesticides. DPR's enforcement of pesticide use in the field is largely carried out in California's 58 counties by County Agricultural Commissioners (CACs) and their staffs (approximately 400 inspector/biologists). CACs seek compliance through education, including presentations to community and industry groups, training sessions for pesticide users, informal or formal compliance actions (such as warning letters), and corrective interviews. CACs regulate pesticide use to prevent misapplication or drift, and possible contamination of people or the environment. Among a Commissioner's most important responsibilities is investigating pesticide-related illnesses and injuries. All reported pesticide related illnesses and injuries are investigated by the CAC in the county which the illness occurred. If the law was broken and people made ill, the commissioner takes enforcement action. CAC staffs also enforce regulations to protect ground and surface water from pesticide contamination. To do this, they may work with regional waters boards and the State Water Resources Control Board. Farmers must obtain site-specific permits from their CAC to buy or use many agricultural chemicals. The CAC staff must evaluate the proposed use to determine if the pesticide can be used safely, particularly in sensitive areas, such as near residential neighborhoods, schools and other sensitive sites. State law requires CACs to ensure that applicators take precautions to protect people and the environment.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: ☑Funding Request ☐Legislative Proposal ☐Targeted Advocacy

Action: The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests its State delegation, the Legislature, and the Administration to:
- Support methods that would provide long-term funding for county pesticide regulatory activities.
- Support the preemptive status of state pesticide laws and authority provided to the Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) and California Agricultural Commissioners.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
The mission and objectives of local pesticide enforcement programs is to protect public safety, the environment and farm labor from pesticide exposure. County Agricultural Commissioner's provide the local regulatory framework to carry out the mission and to meet the objectives of the pesticide enforcement program.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
During the 2014 Legislative Session, the budget-trailer bill (Chapter 741, Statutes of 2003; S8 1049) intent language indicates that the Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) should fund County Agricultural Commissioners' local pesticide regulatory programs with $22 million annually. The Legislature failed to appropriate adequate funding to DPR to meet this level. Approximately $15 million in County general funds in California are contributed per fiscal year in order to maintain the integrity and quality of the pesticide regulatory system Californians have grown to expect.

CONTACT
Cathleen M. Fisher, Agricultural Commissioner, Department of Agriculture, (805) 681-5600
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant to the CEO, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
COUNTY LIBRARY SERVICES

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The County of Santa Barbara funds Library services and operations for 17 city and county libraries. Library services in the County are administered via contracts with the City of Santa Barbara, the City of Santa Maria and the City of Lompoc. Through this arrangement, the county partners with local cities to provide library facilities, services and materials in a cost effective manner. Library services are provided through the following established zones:

Zone 1: Santa Barbara. Services provided to the cities and unincorporated areas located within or in close proximity to Santa Barbara, Carpinteria, Montecito, Isla Vista, Goleta, Buellton, Solvang, Santa Ynez and Los Olivos.

Zone 2: Lompoc. Services provided to the cities and unincorporated areas located within or in close proximity to Lompoc, Vandenberg Village and Vandenberg Air Force Base.

Zone 3: Santa Maria. Services provided to the cities and unincorporated areas located within or in close proximity to Cuyama, Guadalupe, Los Alamos, Orcutt and Santa Maria.

The County's contribution to library services for each zone is based on the population of the cities and unincorporated areas within the respective zone as certified January 1st of the prior fiscal year by the California State Library Public Library Fund (PLF).

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: ☑ Funding Request  ☐ Legislative Proposal  ☐ Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests state funding for our library system. The first priority is to fund needed new library technology, books and materials. In addition, the County seeks funding for equipment, furnishings and to expand hours of operations at various library locations.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
The demand for countywide library services continues to increase. Residents of the County view library services as an essential part of the community and an avenue for all segments of the population to continue self-improvement and education. Current library facilities are in need of renovation, new books and materials, new technology and increased hours of operation.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The County contributes approximately $3.38 M annually from its General Fund, for library services and operations countywide. The cost to maintain library operating hours, replace materials, books, and technology far exceeds our current ability to provide funding. The County seeks additional annual funding, to allow for replacement of outdated equipment, furnishings, technology and materials and increase library hours of operation.

CONTACT
George Chapjian, Director, Community Services Department (805)568-2467
Terri Maus-Nisch, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
Below are several executive or legislative items that emPower is tracking that could impact the acceleration of consumer energy efficiency and renewable energy installation:

- **Governor's energy goals**: Earlier this year, CA’s Governor called to double the efficiency of buildings and increase the renewable portfolio share by 50% by 2030. Staff continue to participate in workshops help by several State agencies on how to best achieve these goals.
- **AB 1103 amendment**: Several organizations are proposing an amendment to enable building owners to access monthly whole building energy data to facilitate energy management and compliance with State benchmarking efforts. The County may be asked to support the amendment.
- **PACE**: emPower continues to monitor all State and national activity related to attempts at addressing the Federal Housing Finance Agencies concerns regarding Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) financing programs.
- **Statewide Energy Efficiency Financing Pilots**: Staff continue to provide input on the California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority’s (CAATFA) rulemaking process to launch a statewide loan loss reserve program, modeled from programs like emPower.
- **Residential Net Zero**: On June 8, the PUC launched a New Residential Zero Net Energy Action Plan designed to establish a robust and self-sustaining market so that all new homes are zero net energy (meaning a home produces as much energy as it uses) beginning in 2020.
- **California Public Utilities Commission Energy Efficiency Evaluation Studies**: Staff have been asked to sit as a standing member to evaluate the effectiveness of certain local energy efficiency programs.
- **AB 765 (Wolk)** would create a California Market Transformation Administrator that will coordinate the planning and execution of the state’s efforts to advance energy efficiency through long-term market transformation strategies, as well as advise on and otherwise assist the CPUC with the coordination of demand-side energy management programs under the CPUC’s jurisdiction. The County may be asked to support the bill.

**PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT**

emPower Central Coast has generated widespread consumer awareness regarding energy saving opportunities across the Tri-County region. Since inception, the program has:

- Provided direct customer engagement and education to over 10,000 local residents.
- emPower Energy Coaches conducted over 600 home energy site visits.
- emPower lenders report $2M in loan applications.
- emPower builder trainings have had over 700 attendees.
- emPower participating contractors have reported over 130 home energy upgrade projects complete ($3M), averaging approximately 29% energy savings

**COST TO GOVERNMENT**

emPower is fully externally funded.

**CONTACT**

George Chapjian, Community Services Department Director, (805)568-2485
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
PARKS/OPEN SPACE

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Santa Barbara County Parks provides services to over 7 million annual visitors to 71 day use parks, open space locations and two camping parks as well as to a network of trails and coastal access easements. The County is interested in preserving and expanding the amount of open space located within its boundary. As such, the County is supportive of funding opportunities that enable local governments: (1) to maintain existing facilities (i.e. funding for park and trail maintenance); (2) to acquire additional land for open space preservation and (3) to ensure safe access to beaches, parks and open space. Examples of these projects are listed below as well as in other areas of the 2016 platform.

Gaviota Coast Preservation: The Gaviota Coast is a largely undeveloped area along Highway 101 North of Goleta and South of Lompoc that consists primarily of agricultural land in private ownership, Vandenberg Air Force Base, US Forest Service Land, and three state parks. There are a number of endangered or threatened species in the area, including the red legged frog, steelhead trout, and California tiger salamander. A National Park Service Study looked at various conservation methods, including a potential federal designation, and concluded that the area is “nationally significant” and the best conservation approach was through local and private conservation efforts. Currently there is no interest in reconsidering a potential federal designation for the Gaviota Coast. There is a need for federal funding and assistance for planning efforts and land conversation acquisition by local government and/or private land trusts.

Point Sal Access and Management Plan and Implementation: This project will provide public access to Point Sal “Reserve”, which consists of 2,600 acres of publicly and privately-owned lands located in the northwestern corner of the County, along the coast of the Pacific Ocean. In 1991, an original management plan was developed and revised in 2002 under an internal administrative draft to include parcels acquired by the County since the original 1991 plan. Costs total approximately $8 Million to update the management plan ($50,000), implement public access including land acquisition and vehicle improvements to the trail-head and pedestrian trail ($7.6 Million), signage and cattle control ($350,000). The United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service has provided a $200,000 California Impact Assistance Program (CIAP) Grant for the preparation of environmental documents, design, permit applications and construction of a culvert improvement for improved public access.

Santa Claus Lane Beach Access and Development: This project will secure public access rights and easements to the beach and open an access way over the existing railroad tracks and rock seawall. The total project cost is approximately $6.9 million which includes acquiring access rights and the design and construction of safety developments for the railroad and seawall crossings, installation of a parking lot, landscape screening, a restroom and other ancillary facilities. The United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service has provided a $316,000 California Impact Assistance Program (CIAP) Grant to facilitate securing access rights.

Jalama Beach Facility Improvements: This project will improve existing facilities to better serve the public at one of the only overnight camping parks in northern Santa Barbara County. The total project cost is approximately $2.5 million and includes systematic renovations to the restroom and shower facilities to meet major maintenance needs and bring facilities into ADA compliance. The Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund (CREF) has provided $450,000 to facilitate some of the restroom improvements.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: Choose an item that identifies how we will address the issue.

☑ Funding Request ☐ Legislative Proposal ☐ Targeted Advocacy
Action: Enter proposed actions to request funding, propose legislation or advocate.

The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors County requests support from its delegation to: (1) assist the County in its interaction with other affected agencies and (2) identify funding opportunities for these projects.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
These projects enhance the opportunities of residents and visitors to the County to gain safe access to beaches, partake in recreational activities and learn more about natural habitat.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The County has secured partial funding for many of these projects and is seeking additional funding to complete these projects.

CONTACT
George Chapjian, Director, Community Services Department, (805) 568-2467
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant to the CEO, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
HISTORIC SANTA BARBARA COUNTY COURTHOUSE

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The Santa Barbara Courthouse is owned by the County of Santa Barbara and serves as a community resource for the area's civic groups, non-profit groups, and other residents. However, this aging building is in need of funding to correct deficiencies and undertake historic rehabilitation of the structure.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: ☒Funding Request  ☐Legislative Proposal  ☐Targeted Advocacy
Action:
Fund the continued conservation and preservation of this iconic National Historic Landmark through the Department of the Interior, National Endowment for the Arts, Housing and Community Development, Homeland Security, or direct Budget Appropriation. Five million dollars over the next five years is requested.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
The historic (City Landmark, State Historic Landmark, and National Historic Landmark) Santa Barbara Courthouse, constructed in 1929, is a 156,000 square foot building home to the Superior Court of California and Civic Government. It is comprised of multiple offices, courtrooms, and historic rooms that are able to accommodate hundreds of people. Moreover, it serves as a vital component of civic society, primarily as a gathering place for community celebrations in the area.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The County has estimated the cost of renovation to be approximately $40 million, which includes:

- ADA upgrades including lift installation, restroom upgrade, handrail and signage installation ($1,235,000)
- Architectural/Safety upgrades including installation of exit sign, fire rated doors and related hardware, fire alarm and fire sprinkler system, stone and cast stone restoration, leather and furniture restoration, painted ceiling restoration, draperies and textile restoration, insulation, restroom addition, roof tile and downspout repair ($22,000,000)
- Structural upgrades including structural analysis and retrofitting of structural elements ($1,775,000)
- Mechanical upgrades to existing heating and plumbing systems ($6,475,000)
- Electrical upgrades including replacement of circuitry and re-wiring of building ($2,760,000)
- Hazardous material abatement including asbestos and lead paint abatement, and termite inspection report ($4,600,000)
- Exterior modifications including repair of existing sidewalks, curbs and gutters and enhanced landscaping ($3,118,000)

CONTACT
Matt Pontes, Director, General Services (805)560-1011
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
VETERANS MEMORIAL BUILDINGS

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The Santa Barbara, Lompoc, and Carpinteria Veterans Memorial Buildings are owned by the County of Santa Barbara and serve as a community resource for the area’s veterans, non-profit groups, and other residents.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: ☑ Funding Request ☐ Legislative Proposal ☐ Targeted Advocacy

Action:
Veterans of foreign wars are often overlooked, forgotten, and misunderstood. Giving them a place to interact with other veterans regardless of wartime experience is not only important, it is our duty to do so. The generation of the 1920s and 1930s understood this obligation; the generation of this decade needs to follow through. This request will enable the Veterans Memorial Buildings within the County of Santa Barbara to continue to serve our returning veterans for decades to come.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Santa Barbara Building
The historic (City Landmark and eligible for the National Register) Santa Barbara Veterans Memorial Building, constructed in 1927, is located on Santa Barbara’s premier waterfront. It is heavily used by veterans and the community alike. Historically, Veterans Memorial Buildings came into being through the counties of California, which provided publicly-owned or donated land for the construction of memorial sites to be built in their cities. Throughout the 1920s and 1930s, memorial halls and structures were constructed and dedicated as a tribute to those who fought in World War I. The usage of these spaces is guided and governed by the California Military and Veterans Code, which provides for the use of such facilities by veterans and persons or organizations other than veterans, either free of charge or for stated compensation to aid in defraying the cost of maintenance. These facilities are being closed in many counties. It is desired to save this building and ensure the usage for many years to come.

Lompoc Building
The historic (City Landmark and eligible for the National Register) Lompoc Veterans Memorial Building, constructed in 1936, is a 20,393 square foot building home to several veterans’ groups. It is comprised of multiple offices, a large commercial kitchen, and two large halls that are able to accommodate hundreds of people. Moreover, it serves as a vital component of Lompoc civic society, primarily as a gathering place for the military veterans in the area.

Carpinteria Building
The historic (City Landmark and eligible for the National Register of Historic Places) Carpinteria Veterans Memorial Building, constructed in the early 1930s, is a 13,400 square foot home to the Carpinteria Valley Veterans of Foreign Wars and many local non-profit community service organizations. Due to the age of the building, major areas of work include: electrical systems, seismic upgrading or retrofitting, exterior and interior ADA improvements, replacement of aging and failing wood components, and heating, ventilation and air conditioning.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The work items listed below are in priority order for each building.

Santa Barbara Building
The County has estimated the cost of renovation to be approximately $800,000, which includes:
1) **Seismic Safety**: This includes seismic retrofitting, foundation stabilization, and deteriorated wood framing components. Total cost estimated for this work is $300,000.

2) **Electrical Systems**: This includes main panel, subpanel upgrades, internal wiring systems, and aging light fixtures. Total cost estimated for this work is $200,000.

3) **Energy**: This includes water distribution systems, HVAC, utility regulation equipment, and window upgrades. Total cost estimated for this work is $300,000.

**Lompoc Building**
The County has estimated the cost for restoration to be $700,000, which includes:

1) **Architectural**: This section includes exiting, fire protection, accessibility for the disabled and other life-safety related projects; roofing, replacement of doors and windows, and related buildings systems upgrades. Federal Share request is $400,000.

2) **Hazardous Materials**: This section includes removal of lead based paints and asbestos materials. Federal Share request is $300,000.

**Carpinteria Building**
The County has estimated cost for restoration to be $900,000, which includes:

1. **Architectural**: This section includes exiting, fire protection, accessibility for the disabled and other life-safety related projects; roofing, replacement of doors and windows, and related buildings systems upgrades. Federal Share request is $400,000.

2. **Hazardous Materials**: This section includes removal of lead based paints and asbestos materials. Federal Share request is $300,000.

3. **Seismic Safety**: The main spaces and bell tower are in need of seismic stabilization and the building in general requires seismic retrofitting to attach roof, wall, and foundation systems for movement during an earthquake event. Federal Share request is $200,000.

**CONTACT**
Matt Pontes, Director, General Services, (805)560-1011
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
OCEAN WATER TESTING

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Ocean water testing is performed at sixteen Santa Barbara County beaches on a weekly basis to identify any areas where bacterial health standards are exceeded. When sampling results indicate water quality meets state health standards, the beaches are open. Warnings are posted at beaches when one or more of the state health standards have been exceeded. Beaches are closed when water is contaminated by sewage at the location and there is an imminent public health concern. The current testing methodology provides tests results 24 hours after the ocean water has been tested. There is a new ocean water testing methodology, Method 1609 polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), that provides point in time water testing results. These results could be provided to the public in a timelier manner, thus supporting timely postings of beach warnings and closures to keep our residents safe and healthy.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:  ☑Funding Request  ☐Legislative Proposal  ☐Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests funding support in the amount of $70,500 for the costs associated with the implementation of Method 1609 qPCR for ocean water testing. These costs are due to the “parallel” testing (running duplicate methods to ensure accurate and consistent results) is required for the first year of implementation.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Beaches are a significant environmental resource in Santa Barbara County used by many residents. If residents enter ocean water when water quality exceeds safe standards, there are increased health risks. Ocean water testing results are shared broadly on our website, in media and through various organizations as a public health service to our community.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
During Fiscal Year 2013-14, the Public Health laboratory testing cost $73,000 under the existing testing methodology. The new Method 1609 qPCR testing is projected to increase costs one-time by $70,500 for the first year of implementation because of the parallel testing required. This projected one-time cost is currently unfunded.

CONTACT
Takashi Wada, MD, MPH Public Health Department (805)681-5105
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
HOLLISTER/STATE STREET IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Hollister Avenue from San Antonio Road to Modoc and State Street from Modoc to State Route 154 are primary arterials in Santa Barbara County. This corridor serves as an alternative route to U.S. 101 and needs improvements to accommodate multimodal travel at current and future traffic volumes.

Proposed improvements include widening the corridor to create additional capacity and improve multimodal circulation. The project will add pedestrian boulevards, bike lanes, bus accommodation, transportation enhancements, and replace a narrow and outdated railroad overhead crossing. The project is supported by the community and will promote a unified sense of community, improve public health and welfare, provide alternative routes for movement of goods and services, and stimulate economic development throughout the area.

The County requests $8M for design and construction of the portion of Hollister Avenue between San Antonio and Modoc Roads.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:
- [ ] Funding Request
- [x] Legislative Proposal
- [ ] Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests participation by providing funds for design and implementation of this project.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
This project supports multimodal transportation and infill development. Some of the benefits to the community include:

- Reduced travel time for movement of people, goods and services, resulting in less congestion, and pollution and improved regional air quality
- Reduced conversion of agricultural land, sensitive habitat, and open space for new development
- Reduced costs to build and maintain expensive new infrastructure
- Improved health and welfare with opportunities for active transportation
- Added vibrancy and a unified sense of community to the corridor
- Implements complete streets concepts, accommodating vehicles, pedestrians, and bicycles
- Improves facilities to comply with the American Disabilities Act

COST TO GOVERNMENT
$8M for design and construction of the portion of Hollister Avenue between San Antonio and Modoc Roads.

CONTACT
Scott D. McGolpin; Public Works Director, (805)568-3010
Terri Maus-Nistich, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
ECONOMIC ENHANCEMENT/REVENUE DIVERSIFICATION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Economic enhancement offers local government, the private sector, the nonprofit sectors and residents the opportunity to work together to improve local economy. It aims to enhance competitiveness and thus encourage sustainable economic growth given the priorities for a specific area.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: □ Funding Request □ Legislative Proposal □ Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests the county’s state and federal delegation in aggressively seeking and securing legislation to provide for enhanced funding and streamlined processes that will encourage the diversification, development, incubation, and growth of business determined to be of priority to California counties in general and Santa Barbara County specifically.

Funding and process improvements should include, but not be limited to, County’s access to:
- Establishing or expanding revolving loan funds,
- Small business loans,
- Community Reinvestment Act Community Development loans and investments, and
- Equity capital and tax relief for startup businesses.

This funding will enhance opportunities to increase economic vitality and diversify the county’s revenue base. In addition, the County supports efforts to secure, where appropriate, direct distribution of federal funds to local governments rather than state pass-throughs.

Priority focus areas for Santa Barbara County include but are not limited to:
- Film and tourism
- Agriculture
- Wine Industry
- Green technology and manufacturing
- Education
- Aerospace
- Entrepreneurial development
- Developing hard and soft infrastructure to support economic growth
- Technology infrastructure improvements
- University/Governmental Research
- Clean energy

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Facilitating local job growth, economic enhancement and revenue diversification of local revenues, based on community priorities, creates an environmentally sustainable economy that improves the community’s ability to generate and retain local revenues, address priority needs, create jobs, and weather the swings in the economy.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
In the long term, costs to government are reduced as the private and nonprofit sectors expand and diversify.

CONTACT
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
HOMELESSNESS

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The County of Santa Barbara, in partnership with cities and community organizations, drafted the "Bringing Our Community Home: The Santa Barbara Countywide 10-Year Plan to End Chronic Homelessness" plan in 2006. The County continues to work on these and other efforts in partnership with the Central Coast Collaborative on Homelessness or C3H. The County seeks funding support to implement this plan, including financing a comprehensive system of housing, services, and treatment to help prevent homelessness.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:
- Funding Request
- Legislative Proposal
- Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests that its state and federal delegations support existing and new legislation to address the issue of homelessness and support funding of housing, services, and treatment programs to end chronic homelessness.

Specifically, the County requests the Federal delegation's support for funding McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Grants, including the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program, and the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program. These programs are authorized under the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, as amended by the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act of 2009. The County recommends the inclusion of provisions which allow for additional flexibility in the use of Federal funds on the local level to address housing for the homeless. The County also requests continuing support for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program and the HOME program. These two programs provide additional public services, capital improvements, and new affordable housing, which work together to reduce homelessness and prevent additional persons and families from becoming homeless.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Each year more than 6,300 people in Santa Barbara County experience homelessness; on any given night, nearly 2,000 people are homeless. Of the people who are homeless, 45% or as many as 913 people are chronically homeless. Santa Barbara County's chronically homeless population is composed of single adults and families with children who have either been continuously homeless for a year or more or have had at least four episodes of homelessness in the past three years, have a disabling condition and have been sleeping in a place not meant for human habitation (e.g., living on the streets) or in an emergency shelter during that time. Many of these individuals have serious mental illnesses; two-thirds of all people with serious mental illness have been homeless or have been at risk of being homeless at some point in their lives.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
Chronically homeless people may consume more than 50% of all the services provided to homeless people due to their continued movement through the service system without obtaining the help they need. Chronically homeless individuals are also frequent users of other costly public services, such as hospital emergency rooms, psych emergency wards and the criminal justice system. Chronic homelessness is expensive, but these costs can be reduced and chronic homelessness can be ended through the provision of permanent supportive housing. Studies have demonstrated that providing people with permanent supportive housing is the most humane and cost-effective way to end chronic homelessness.

CONTACT
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
AFFORDABLE HOUSING

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
According to a 2015 Coastal Housing Coalition study of the South Coast housing market, 70% of South Coast employees were unable to purchase a suitable home less than 25 minutes from their place of employment. One-third (33%) of South Coast employee respondents indicated they were unable to rent in the South Coast. The National Association of Homebuilders reported Santa Barbara County is the 5th least affordable small housing market in the nation. The elimination of redevelopment agency funding at the state level and reductions in federal affordable housing funds puts greater emphasis on finding other funding streams to help create and preserve both affordable rental and ownership housing. The County supports creative strategies to preserve, build, and make available affordable housing for individual and family households countywide.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: ☒Funding Request ☐Legislative Proposal ☒Targeted Advocacy

Action:
Advocacy on behalf of two housing financing bills in the California Legislature, AB 35 and AB 1335, both supported by the League of California Cities, to create a dedicated source of revenue to produce new housing.

At the federal level, the County supports efforts such as the National Housing Trust Fund, created by Congress in 2008, but only in 2016 will the program receive its first infusion of funding from a set-aside by the Federal Housing Finance Agency in partnership with the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae). The County also supports the full funding of HUD’s homeless assistance programs and the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
AB 35 would expand the state’s Low-Income Housing Tax Credit program by $300 million annually to build and rehabilitate affordable housing. Increasing the available tax credits for low-income housing will leverage additional federal tax credits and tax exempt bond authority. Building more affordable housing will not only help to mitigate the County’s housing crisis, but will increase construction jobs and tax revenues. Statewide AB 1335 would generate up to $700 million per year for affordable rental or ownership housing, supportive housing, emergency shelters, transitional housing and other housing needs via a $75 recordation fee on real estate transactions with the exception of home sales. The National Housing Trust Fund will provide a dedicated funding source, not subject to annual Congressional appropriations, to create and preserve affordable housing. The County also supports the NHTF in combination with the public housing Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program to preserve and development public housing. Along with homeless assistance programs, such as the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) and the Continuum of Care programs, these efforts will go a long way in strengthening the safety net for County residents.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
This federal and state level legislation would stimulate the construction industry in California, generating tax revenue and positive ripple effects throughout the economy. Secondary positive impacts include improved outcomes for children and families, low/moderate income households, senior adults, disabled households, and veterans.

CONTACT
George Chaplian, Director, Community Services Department, (805) 568-2467
Dinah Lockhart, Deputy Director, Housing and Community Development (HCD) Division (805) 568-3523
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

Two pillars of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) federal entitlement storehouse, the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and the HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) programs have continually been subject to Congressional appropriation reductions. This is unfortunate, when these programs provide a significant resource to address County needs in the areas of capital infrastructure, public service, micro-economic development and affordable housing. Between 2010-2015, the County’s share of these 2 programs dropped 43%. This funding is critical in maintaining a level of community sustainability that provides for a growing and vibrant economy.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: Funding Request, Legislative Proposal, Targeted Advocacy

Action:

Continue to support and advocate on behalf of the CDBG and HOME programs.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT

As an example of public benefit and impact in the local community, in FY 2014, $227,000 in County CDBG funded programs such as the Safe Parking and Homeless Outreach Program, Healthy Senior Lunch, and the Bridgehouse Homeless Shelter. Over $1.2 million went toward County infrastructure improvements and economic development, such as the Isla Vista Streetlighting Retrofit, Calle Real ADA Sidewalks, and the Self-Employment Training (SET) Program. Over the past 3 years, HOME funds were instrumental in creating new affordable housing, such as $1.65 million for Pescadero Lofts, a 33 unit development for formerly homeless persons, $1.28 million for Casas de la Flores, 42 affordable units for farmworker families in Carpinteria, and $0.18 million for the 45-unit Salvang Senior Apartments. Over $1.1 million was also earmarked for Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) countywide.

These examples do not include CDBG and HOME funds which were also awarded by HUD to the Cities of Santa Maria and Santa Barbara and were used to improve both capital infrastructure and public services in those communities.

COST TO GOVERNMENT

The cost to governmental is contrasted with the realized preventive maintenance and improvement to social infrastructure. Advocates point out that for every $1 in CDBG or HOME expended, the community leverages over $4.00 from other sources.

CONTACT

George Chapjian, Director /Community Services Department, (805)568-2467
Dinah Lockhart, Deputy Director /Housing and Community Development (HCD) Division (805)568-3523
LAKE CACHUMA

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
As a result of a biological opinion issued by the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Federal Bureau of Reclamation (Bureau) has evaluated the impacts of raising the water level at Lake Cachuma to protect the endangered steelhead trout. The increased water level, coupled with the need to replace outdated and aging infrastructure, is the catalyst for funding requests to continue Parks operations listed below.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: Choose an item that identifies how we will address the issue.

☑ Funding Request □ Legislative Proposal ☒ Targeted Advocacy

Action: Enter proposed actions to request funding, propose legislation or advocate...

The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests its Congressional delegation support new appropriation requests as follows: Fire flow and water distribution system improvements - $5.6 million; New water treatment plant and related systems - $3.7 million; Sewage treatment - $5.1 million; Recreational improvements - $10.1 million.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>TOTAL COST</th>
<th>AMOUNT FUNDED</th>
<th>STRATEGY TO SECURE FUNDING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIOLOGICAL OPINION / SURCHARGE INITIATED PROJECTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Flow and Water Distribution Improvements</td>
<td>$2,100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Road Repair &amp; Access Improvements (connected to fire flow for fire truck access)</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Water Treatment Plant and Related Systems</td>
<td>$3,700,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Treatment Plant and Related Systems</td>
<td>$5,100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$14,400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CAPITAL FACILITIES AND RECREATIONAL PROJECTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat Ramp Extension</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DB&amp;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Overnight Accommodations (Cabins/Yurts)</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Improvements (Pool Facilities)</td>
<td>$6,900,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$10,100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAND TOTAL FOR ALL PROJECTS</td>
<td>$24,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
The County provides an array of recreational amenities including boating, fishing, camping (tent, RV, yurts and cabins), seasonal naturalist programs, and nature cruises to approximately 800,000 visitors year-round.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The Bureau owns all "project" facilities and operates Bradbury Dam, which was constructed under contract with the Santa Barbara County Water Agency to provide irrigation and water supplies.

CONTACT
George Chapjian, Director, Community Services Department, (805) 568-2467
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant to the CEO, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
SUSTAINABLE INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Every trip begins and ends on a local facility. Local transportation systems are critical for moving people, goods, and services reliably and safely to their destination. Traditional sources of revenue for transportation are declining as communities develop more sustainably and vehicles become more fuel-efficient. Additional funding is required to respond significantly to transportation needs.

In order to maintain the transportation system in a safe and serviceable condition the philosophy of system preservation must be embraced by our country’s leadership to provide the right treatment, to the right facility at the right time. This issue is well documented at every level of government in needs assessments throughout the country.

A multi-year transportation bill is needed that provides certainty for businesses and communities, creates jobs, and makes necessary policy updates to lay the foundation for lasting economic growth. Transportation infrastructure is too essential to suffer continued neglect. We must commit to finding ways to support maintenance of existing infrastructure.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: Select one or more of the check boxes
☑ Funding Request ☐ Legislative Proposal ☑ Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors calls on Congress, the Governor, and the California State Legislature to commit to a sound, long-term financial solution that will ensure stability of the surface transportation system.

The solution must include dedicated funding for properly maintaining existing transportation infrastructure including, but not limited to pavement, bridges and pedestrian facilities.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
This project supports the existing transportation network, to maintain safe and efficient movement of goods, services, and people. If the backlog of existing maintenance is not addressed now, the cost to maintain it will double in the next decade. For Santa Barbara County, this means the transportation maintenance backlog will increase from $250M to $500M. An additional $9M annual funding is needed for pavement maintenance alone to prevent roads from deteriorating further.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
Ongoing sustainable funding to maintain roads and other essential transportation infrastructure is critical to the public’s health, safety and welfare throughout the nation. The lack of a consistent source of funding leads to costly deferred maintenance, extensive repairs and increased liability claims.

CONTACT
Scott D. McGolpin; Public Works Director, (805) 568-3010
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
US HIGHWAY 101 - REGIONAL

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Highway 101 Lane Widening is the No.1 Regional funding priority for the County. The project will increase U.S. Highway 101 from 4 to 6 lanes by adding High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes between the Cities of Carpinteria and Santa Barbara, a distance of 10 miles, to improve safety, reduce traffic congestion and match the 6-lane highway south of Carpinteria and north of Santa Barbara. US 101 is one of two north-south highways in California that connect the Los Angeles basin, with a population of 13 million, and the greater San Francisco Bay Area, with a population of 7 million. The other north-south highway, Interstate 5, is periodically closed due to inclement weather and US 101 serves as the primary alternate route in California during those periods.

The corridor provides access from Central Coast agricultural operations to markets around the country. US 101 also provides critical access to Vandenberg Air Force Base in central Santa Barbara County. The entire project corridor is within the Santa Barbara urbanized area that includes the University of California at Santa Barbara, defense-related businesses, and hundreds of businesses related to the tourism industry. On a daily basis, commuters from neighboring Ventura County, interregional truck traffic, and tourists contribute to the facility’s average daily traffic of 90,000 vehicles, exceeding the capacity of the four-lane facility for hours at a time, bringing this 10-mile segment of highway to a crawl.

Upon completion of the project, the corridor will be a part of a continuous 40-mile, 6-lane facility, extending from the City of Ventura in Ventura County to the City of Goleta in Santa Barbara County. The corridor will include 16 miles of continuous HOV lanes. This 10-mile segment, and an adjacent 6-mile segment to the south at the Ventura/Santa Barbara County line currently under construction, will be the first HOV lanes on the Central Coast of California.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy:
- Funding Request
- Legislative Proposal
- Targeted Advocacy

Action:
Santa Barbara County and the eight cities within the County support the Highway 101 Widening Project as the region’s top regional roadway priority for Federal funding. Santa Barbara County will work in a support position to the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments to promote the critical nature of the project at the State and Federal level.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
US Highway 101 is one of only two north-south transportation routes between Los Angeles and San Francisco within the State of California. Transportation of commuters and goods and services, including Central Coast agricultural crops, are essential to the overall economic health and vitality of the State. When Interstate 5 is closed, due to accident, fire or snow, all north-south traffic is diverted to the US 101. It is designated as a State Focus Route and High Emphasis Route.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The total estimated cost of the project is $425 million. The project will be funded by $140 million from Measure A, the local transportation sales tax, and $285 million in State and Federal funding.

CONTACT
Scott McGolpin, Director – Public Works Department, (805)568-3010
Terri Maus-Nisch, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
LOWER MISSION CREEK

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The Lower Mission Creek Project was initiated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) to study the flood threat to the City of Santa Barbara. Through a series of feasibility studies, a final project was developed in the 1990’s that optimized the costs and environmental features of the project that would provide additional flood protection with a project that was locally acceptable.

The EIS/EIR was completed and certified in 2001 and since that time the permit process was completed and some design work started by the Corps. Today, the project is stalled because the new cost estimate from the recent design work puts the project below the required Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR).

The City and County of Santa Barbara have worked to forward the project starting at the lower end and hope to implement the elements below U.S. 101. We desire the Corps to find a way to fund the remainder of the work. This project has seen significant investments by the Corps and local agencies and as such we need to finish the project. The Lower Mission Creek: County Funding Table, below, shows the amount of money that has been locally invested including a one-time contribution from the State.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: Choose an item that identifies how we will address the issue.

☑ Funding Request  ☑ Legislative Proposal  ☑ Targeted Advocacy

Action:
Fund the completion of Design and Construction; include language in the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) to keep Lower Mission Creek a viable project; Advocate for continued Corps funding.

The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests that the Corps of Engineers be funded to complete the design of the Lower Mission Creek Project and continue into construction. Both the Corps and local agencies have spent decades developing the current project and each has spent significant dollars on getting to a viable project.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Lower Mission Creek dissects the City of Santa Barbara. Past flood events, most notably the 1995 flood events have shown the devastating impact these floods have on the community. The 1995 events showed that aside from the obvious devastating impacts to home owners and business owners, there is a serious impact to the transportation system, including U.S. 101 (a major North – South Transportation corridor), the railroad, and local streets. In addition, damages to public infrastructure results.
COST TO GOVERNMENT
Previous costs estimates put the project costs at about $80 million which would leave approximately $40 million local share. Local funding for this project would take decades without federal assistance.

Local costs could be further mitigated by subventions of the federal project with state funding.

CONTACT
Scott McGolpin, Director, Public Works Department, (805) 568-3010
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400

LOWER MISSION CREEK: COUNTY FUNDING TABLE*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund 2610 -- So Coast Flood Zone 2</th>
<th>SC8042 LOWER MISSION CREEK CIP</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FISCAL YEAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-98</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>390,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998-99</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>145,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>4,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-01</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>47,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-02</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-03</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>203,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-04</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>95,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>142,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-06</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>61,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>359,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>2,013,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>517,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>539,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,081,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>584,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>totals</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>13,216,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data only available back to 1997-98
SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT FUNDING

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

Two groundwater bills became effective January 1, 2015 (SB 1168, and SB 1319). Together these bills comprise the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA). The intent of the Act, which is being implemented by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), is the sustainable management of California’s groundwater within about 25 years. Basins that are subject to the legislation include all medium and high priority basins as defined by DWR that have not previously been adjudicated. Within Santa Barbara County, there are three such basins. They are the Cuyama Valley, San Antonio, and Santa Ynez Groundwater Basins.

The Act requires the completion of Groundwater Sustainability Plans (GSPs) for each basin. The general components of GSPs will include technical information about aquifer yield, use, and balance, measurable objectives for sustainability, and actions to meet the sustainable yield. The entity responsible for complying with the requirements of the Act is the Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA). Counties are the presumed GSAs in the absence of other entities assuming the role.

Although the Proposition 1 includes $100 million in grant funds for SGMA, it is a relatively small amount for the number of basins subject to the Legislation and it is currently unknown how the funds will be distributed or prioritized. Therefore, we desire the State to provide additional funding mechanisms for SGMA implementation as compliance will require the expenditure of significant resources over a period of decades.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: ☒ Funding Request ☒ Legislative Proposal ☐ Targeted Advocacy

Action: The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests that the Government, State Legislature and the Department of Water Resources develop additional funding mechanisms for Santa Barbara County to implement the requirements of SGMA, which is, in essence, a mandate for comprehensive analysis and management of the three largest groundwater basins in Santa Barbara County.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT

California is one of the last remaining States that has not required some form of sustainable management of groundwater. As a result, many of the State’s basins are in a state of critical imbalance which has resulted in degradation of water quality, land subsidence, loss of surface water interaction, and economic hardship among users. In addition, it has reduced the water available for use during periods of drought such as the current one. Creating additional funding mechanisms for the implementation of SGMA will allow for sustainable management of the County’s basins which will ultimately benefit several of the 2015 Legislative Principles including Economic Vitality, Community Stability, and Health and Human Services. It will allow basins to retain their value as sources of emergency water supply, agricultural vitality, and environmental resources.

COST TO GOVERNMENT

Precise cost estimates for the implementation of SGMA are unavailable at this time as the State has not yet finalized guidelines for its implementation and because associated costs will extend far into the future.

CONTACT

Scott D. McCgoldin; Public Works Director, (805) 568-3010
Terri Maus-Nishida, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
EMPOWER/BUILDING EFFICIENCY

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

emPower Central Coast (emPower) is the County’s voluntary clean energy and building efficiency program. emPower is designed to stimulate the local economy and reduce energy consumption by helping private property owners complete energy improvements. Since inception over 3.5 years ago, emPower has continued to be awarded full external funding support from federal, State and utility agencies and has not required County General Fund investment. On June 25, 2013, the Board approved additional funding awards to allow emPower to expand its existing service model to the Tri-County region (population 1.4 million) in collaboration with Ventura County and San Luis Obispo County. By leveraging public and private investments, along with collaborative relationships with many community agencies, emPower currently operates 12 services to support homeowner and building professionals completing energy upgrades.

While emPower continues to be fortunate to be fully funded by external sources, all the awards received to date are considered “one-time” rather than ongoing funding. The program is currently funded primarily by Investor Owned Utilities through the California Public Utilities Commission 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency portfolio cycle, as well as the California Energy Commission through Los Angeles County. Program staff continue to actively pursue another allocation of funds in 2016 and beyond, and are also actively seeking new funding opportunities to retain and expand program services.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: Funding Request  Legislative Proposal  Targeted Advocacy

Action:

The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests that the County continue to seek external funding to continue the emPower Central Coast program. Originally designed to serve residential and non-residential sectors, the County also seeks opportunities to build upon its existing service framework in line with Board’s expressed climate and sustainability goals. For example, 41% of the emission reductions in the County’s Energy and Climate Action Plan (adopted in June 2015) are expected to come from voluntary building efficiency initiatives.

Opportunities for continued or additional emPower funding include:

- **California Public Utilities Commission 2016+ Energy Efficiency portfolio cycle**: Proposed ruling expected August or September 2015, which is likely to include support for emPower. Staff continue to monitor and provide reply comment as necessary in proceedings.

- **California Public Utilities Commission Energy Efficiency Financing Marketing**: emPower has been offered a subcontract under the Center for Sustainable Energy to support the PUC’s statewide energy efficiency financing initiative.

- **Pacific Gas and Electric**: The utility has expressed interest in the County serving as Local Government Partnership Administrator for the North County Energy Watch program. Staff continue to engage in conversations about potential funding and scope.

- **AB 758**: Per AB 758, the California Energy Commission recently released its Existing Buildings Energy Efficiency Action Plan, which calls for specific actions by local governments. Staff continue to participate in workshops regarding the development of a new funding source called the Local Government Challenge.

- **Cap and Trade**: Staff participate in Local Government Sustainable Energy Coalition meetings encouraging support for the State Budget to appropriate Cap and Trade auction towards local building efficiency or climate action efforts.
ENERGY AND CLIMATE ACTION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

In March 2009, the County of Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors (BOS) directed County staff "to take immediate, cost-effective and coordinated steps to reduce the County’s collective GHG emissions." In response to this direction the Energy and Climate Action (ECAP) Plan was developed. The BOS adopted the ECAP in June 2015, which includes 50 emission reduction measures to lower GHG emissions 15% below baseline levels (2007) by 2020. Emission reduction measures fall into 11 categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Choice Energy</th>
<th>Industrial Energy Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Communities Strategy</td>
<td>Waste Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use Design</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Water Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built Environment</td>
<td>Governmental Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Energy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsequently, in this year’s adopted budget, the BOS included a budget enhancement under the Community Services Department to centrally coordinate interdepartmental implementation of sustainability activities. The newly formed Division of Energy and Sustainability Initiatives (ESI) under CSD was tasked with managing the County’s ECAP implementation efforts under the direction of the CEO’s office, along with several other sustainability initiatives.

While some of the 50 emission reduction measures in the ECAP are already in existence, others will have to be developed, which will necessitate the pursuit of external funding awards.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: ☑ Funding Request ☑ Legislative Proposal ☑ Targeted Advocacy

Action:

The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests that the County to seek external funding to implement the emission reduction measures proposed in the ECAP. *Note: funding opportunities and legislative items specifically related to building efficiency are found under the emPower Legislative Plank.

ESI has just begun tracking funding opportunities for emission reduction measures (see below). Departments listed as responsible for various emission reduction measures in the ECAP will assist in identifying specific funding sources.

- **Local Government Partnerships:** One way the investor owned utilities administer funds for local governments through "Partnerships." ESI is engaged in conversations to increase the investment made towards ECAP measures.
- **Cap and Trade:** The Legislature approved and the Governor signed California’s first Cap and Trade Expenditure plan this year, totaling $872 million in investments to reduce Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions in California. In addition, the budget created a framework for how the Legislature will allocate future Cap and Trade auction revenues with 60% of all futures funds dedicated to continuous appropriations for a variety of different program areas (see Housing, Land Use & Transportation section for additional details). However, 40% of Cap and Trade funds have been secured for natural resource investments in future budget years, upon annual appropriation of the Legislature. Staff participate in Local Government Sustainable Energy Coalition meetings encouraging support for the State Budget to appropriate Cap and Trade auction towards local building efficiency.
or climate action efforts. CSAC has made advocating for local government eligibility for cap and trade funds a legislative priority in 2015.

Below are several executive or legislative items that ESI is tracking that could impact the local climate action planning and implementation efforts:

- **California Climate Leadership Package**: A package of 12 bills is being brought forward by a number of legislators to spur innovation and economic growth, and make clean energy more accessible. [http://focus.senate.ca.gov/climate](http://focus.senate.ca.gov/climate)


- **SB 350**: Nearly half of CA’s greenhouse gas emissions come from transportation. This bill sets an ambitious goal of reducing CA’s petroleum use 50% by 2030. The County may opt to support this legislation has it could help local agencies achieve their climate goals.

**PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT**

The Energy and Climate Action Plan proposes to lower GHG emissions 15% below baseline levels (2007) by 2020. Co-benefits of climate action implementation include:

- Reduce energy usage
- Supports local economy
- Improves mobility
- Informs public
- Improves public health
- Reduces waste
- Produces monetary savings
- Conserves natural resources

**COST TO GOVERNMENT**

ESI received a budget of $150,000/year starting in FY 2015-2016 to centrally coordinate ECAP implementation. Specific emission reduction measures not already in existence will vary in cost and may be good candidates for external funding.

**CONTACT**

George Chapjian, Community Services Department Director, (805)568-2485
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
SEISMIC MONITORING

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

The California Integrated Seismic Network is a collaborative effort, founded in 2000, to integrate existing, separate California earthquake monitoring networks into a single seismic monitoring system. The CISN provides the organizational framework to coordinate these earthquake-monitoring operations. The CISN constitutes the California region within Advanced National Seismic System (ANSS). Santa Barbara County has recently added 7 vault installations contributing towards CISN and looks to implement the beta platform for ShakeAlert which provides Earthquake Early Warning System capabilities. Santa Barbara County Emergency Management Director Ryan Rockabrand currently serves as the Vice-Chair of the CISN Advisory Committee and 1st District Supervisor Salud Carbajal serves on the California Seismic Safety Commission. More information on CISN is available at www.cisn.org.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: ☒ Funding Request ☒ Legislative Proposal ☒ Targeted Advocacy

Action:

The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests funding, legislative, and advocacy support for the continued development of CISN. This support will augment the core members which include the California Geological Survey, U.C. Berkeley Seismological Laboratory, Caltech Seismological Laboratory, Menlo Park USGS, Pasadena USGS, USGS National Strong Motion Project and contributing members including the University of California, Santa Barbara; University of California, San Diego; University of Nevada, Reno; University of Washington; California Department of Water Resources; Lawrence Livermore National Lab; and Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT

Contribute towards the operation of a reliable and robust statewide system to record earthquake ground motions over the relevant range of frequencies and shaking levels. To distribute information about earthquakes rapidly after their occurrence for emergency response and public information. Lastly, to create an easily accessible archive of California earthquake data for engineering and seismological research, including waveform data and derived products.

COST TO GOVERNMENT

The cost of short-term and long-term solutions for CISN include costs for design and engineering, preparing and filing permit applications, monitoring associated vault installations, conducting public outreach, and preparing notification protocols. The total project costs associated with implementing short-term installations statewide of approximately $20 million with a sustained annual cost of approximately $16 million. The United States Geological Survey in conjunction with Caltech has provided a roadmap in the form of an implementation plan outlining these costs in detail. (http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2014/1097/pdf/ofr2014-1097.pdf)

On December 16, 2014, President Obama signed into a law a $1.1 trillion spending bill. Prior to the signing, Sen. Dianne Feinstein, D-Calif., and U.S. Rep. Adam Schiff, D-Burbank, released a joint statement that $5 million has been allocated to support the continued development of the USGS/Caltech earthquake early warning system. In 2013, California legislators passed a bill asking officials to set up a seismic early warning system. The bill was approved by the Governor however; no funding was attached to the bill. The state has until January 2016 to get funding in place.

CONTACT

Ryan Rockabrand / Office of Emergency Management, (805) 681-5526
Terri Maus-Nisch, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
OIL RESEARCH AND INFRASTRUCTURE

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

- Oil & gas development has occurred in Santa Barbara County since as early as the 1890’s. A significant amount of onshore and offshore oil & gas production continues within the County today. Numerous private operators utilize infrastructure such as pipelines, tanks and specialized equipment to serve this ongoing production. Existing infrastructure continues to age and degrade, some of which is in need of replacement and unused, historic infrastructure persists.
- Existing, aging oil & gas infrastructure is less likely to include modern technological features that help ensure safe operations and reduce potential for spill/upset.
- A significant amount of historic, abandoned infrastructure associated with discontinued operations is also located within the County.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: ✚Funding Request ✕Legislative Proposal ✚Targeted Advocacy

Action:

- Seek legislation that would incentivize and/or require private oil & gas operating companies to repair/replace aging infrastructure including pipelines and tanks. Also include requirements for the use of best available safety-related technologies with the intent of reducing the frequency and volume of upset events resulting in environmental damage. (funding for improvements would be provided by the affected company)
- Seek legislation that would provide local jurisdictions with increased regulatory authority to oversee inspection and maintenance activities of existing/future oil & gas infrastructure.
- Seek funding for cleanup of historic abandoned oil and gas wells and equipment, with an urgent priority targeting wells that pollute the marine environment, as well as for equipment that creates public safety/nuisance concerns.
- Advocate for the above.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT

- Reduce likelihood of oil spills and public safety hazards with the intent of protecting the ecologically sensitive habitats of Santa Barbara including both terrestrial and marine environments.

COST TO GOVERNMENT

- There are no known direct costs to the County. Economic impacts would be absorbed by private oil & gas operators.

CONTACT

Glenn Russell, Ph.D., Director, Planning and Development, (805)568-2085
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
BRIDGES

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

Reauthorization of the transportation bill must prioritize funding for locally-owned bridges and include a dedicated funding set aside for all bridge classifications. There are three major classifications for bridges in the national bridge inventory (see illustrations below):

- National Highway System (NHS) bridges – those on major freeways, expressways, and interstates, etc.
- off-NHS, on federal aid route (on-system, off-NHS) bridges on major and minor collectors; and
- local and rural road bridges (off-system).

Continuing federal bridge funding as a core formula program is critical to local, state, and federal agencies’ ability to maintain the nation’s entire bridge network. On-system bridges; those on Federal-Aid routes but not on the NHS, account for over half of California’s local bridge inventory. They carry millions of vehicles each day and are an integral part of our arterial and collector road system, delivering goods, services and people across the nation. These bridges are a unique component of our nation’s transportation system and there is little room for error when it comes to bridge safety. A failed bridge severs transportation network continuity, while resilient bridges help ensure mobility for the traveling public.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: Select one or more of the check boxes

- Funding Request
- Legislative Proposal
- Targeted Advocacy

Action:

The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors requests inclusion of a fully-funded bridge maintenance, rehabilitation, and replacement program in the transportation bill for all three categories of bridges: NHS, off-NHS on-system, and off-system.
PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Roadway bridges are a significant piece of county transportation infrastructure. Without dedicated funding for all three categories of bridges, National Highway System (NHS), on-system, and off-system, local agencies would have to divert billions of dollars from other transportation infrastructure projects and programs, or let bridges degrade, putting our nation's infrastructure at risk and in need of higher-cost repairs in the future.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
If bridge program funding is included as a core formula program in the transportation reauthorization bill with specific set-asides, it could be absorbed in current transportation funding programs.

CONTACT
Scott D. McGolpin; Public Works Director, (805)568-3010
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
RESOURCE RECOVERY PROJECT

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The County of Santa Barbara, in collaboration with the cities of Santa Barbara, Goleta, Solvang and Buellton, are pursuing the development of the Tajiguas Resource Recovery Project. This facility is projected to be publicly owned and privately operated by contract on the County owned and operated Tajiguas Landfill. All waste currently buried at this public landfill would now be processed first, taking out recyclables to be sold, and organics to be further processed into biogas and digestate. This facility would provide a 20-year waste management system increasing the region’s recycling rate, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and generating green energy.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: Funding Request    Legislative Proposal    Targeted Advocacy
Action:
Santa Barbara County, along with the four partnering cities, have been pursuing this project as an environmentally beneficial alternative to landfilling of our municipal solid waste. Costs for this facility range from $100 to $120 million dollars. In order to limit financial impact to the ratepayer the County is looking for funding or other resources to support the development of this important project.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
The Resource Recovery Project would have several sustainable and beneficial impacts:

• Providing a comprehensive and flexible 20 year solid waste management solution for the region
• Increasing the amount of material recycled in our region
• Providing a local source of green energy
• Eliminating future greenhouse gases generated by the landfill

Helps the County achieve State mandates on waste diversion (75% by 2020 – AB 341), greenhouse gas reduction (1990 levels achieved by 2020 – AB 32), and green energy production (33% renewable energy by 2020 – SB X1 2).

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The estimated capital cost of the project is approximately $100 to $120 million. The project will be financed through a tipping fee at the facility. This cost will then be passed onto the ratepayers in their monthly bills. The costs for a project that includes a MRF and AD Facility are comparable to the alternatives available to the affected communities (exportation to another facility or expansion of the existing landfill). Any external resources that can be provided will assist in the financial feasibility of the project.

CONTACT
Mark Schleich, Deputy Director of Public Works, (805) 882-3603
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
SUPPORT FOR WASTE RECOVERY PROGRAMS & FACILITIES

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Communities are continually affected by new state and federal mandates regarding the safe and effective management of waste materials including the increased recovery of materials for beneficial reuse and recycling as well as the appropriate management of a growing number of commonly used hazardous wastes. In order to implement new programs and facilities to comply with growing regulations and general interest in doing more with waste materials, the County would benefit from receiving resources such as funding, permitting assistance, and resources associated with the better management of waste.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: ☑ Funding Request ☑ Legislative Proposal ☑ Targeted Advocacy
Action:
Santa Barbara County is requesting additional support including funding opportunities related to implementing new waste recovery programs and facilities.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
The County of Santa Barbara would benefit from support including new funding opportunities available for waste recovery programs and facilities in order to promote the local economy, provide cost effective services, and create a variety of environmental benefits including:

- Reduction of greenhouse gasses
- Conservation of water and energy
- Conservation of natural elements by reusing extracted materials rather than extracting new materials and further impacting natural areas

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The implementation of these types of programs and facilities can cost in the range of tens of thousands of dollars to over a hundred million dollars so the County would benefit greatly from external resources brought to the effort.

CONTACT
Mark Schleich, Deputy Director of Public Works, (805)882-3603
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
IMPROVING LOCAL GOVERNANCE: UNINCORPORATED POWERS

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
States grant cities and counties the ability to administer government at the local level. Santa Barbara County as a general law County operates under California Government Code Title 3, and other applicable California laws. General Law cities operate under California Government Code Title 4 and other applicable California laws.

In many counties in California, more than half of a county’s population is in an unincorporated area, as opposed to an incorporated city. Therefore, the county government is responsible for the provision of services and addressing infrastructure needs. Counties, however, do not enjoy the same taxing, revenue generation, and governing authorities as cities. AB2119 signed by Governor Brown on July 18, 2014, is intended to provide more flexibility to counties to raise certain revenues to fund local services in unincorporated areas consistent with incorporated areas. Specifically, AB 2119 allows voters in the unincorporated areas of a county to vote on a tax measure that would apply within an unincorporated area only. AB 2119 requires the revenue derived from the imposition of the tax to only be used within the area from which the tax was approved by the voters. While this new legislation provides for the imposition of sales and use tax, within a specific unincorporated area, increasing the opportunities available for revenue generation, a general law county’s ability to enjoy the full spectrum of governance powers provided to general law cities remains limited.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: ☐Funding Request  ☑Legislative Proposal  ☑Targeted Advocacy
Action:
Work in conjunction with the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) to fully assess spectrum of differences in powers of general law cities vs general law counties and develop and support legislation which provides general law counties all governance powers afforded general law cities in order to adequately address full spectrum of services and infrastructure needs in unincorporated areas.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Unincorporated areas of counties must have mechanisms in place to address service and facility needs. Residents of unincorporated areas of a county should not be limited in the ability to achieve goals and address needs as a result of a lack of governance powers provided via the State. Preserving, enhancing and managing the revenue base in addition to employing all tools currently available to cities is essential to govern effectively and address collective and community specific needs. Tools to be explored will include but are not limited to levying of all administrative fines, forfeitures and penalties.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
Counties will be better able to manage operating budgets if afforded the instruments to recover costs for services and infrastructure in unincorporated cities. Current services require funding sources that are acquired through indirect means. Using tools such as but not limited to fines, forfeitures and penalties will better equip counties with the potential for full cost recovery.

CONTACT
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
LAND USE:
ENFORCEMENT FINES FOR
LAND USE VIOLATIONS

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
State law governing ordinance violations sets maximum fine amounts for violators of land use codes at a maximum of $100 for the first violation within a one year timeframe, a maximum of $200 for the second violation within a one year timeframe, and a maximum of $500 for each additional violation within a one year timeframe, including one-time violations. One-time violations are violations that cannot be corrected or cured. Similarly, fine amounts for violators of local building codes are set at a maximum of $1,000 for each violation within a one year timeframe.

Santa Barbara County recognizes the need for the State legislature to set maximum fine amounts to ensure fairness and consistency across the State of California. However, the $500 maximum severely hinders the County’s ability to adequately enforce land use violations, particularly regarding one-time violations. One-time violations often occur when owners rent out their properties for special events (e.g. weddings). The County’s Land Use Codes specifically prohibit this type of use on residentially zoned properties without proper permits. As written, the maximum amount the County may fine the owner for this type of violation is only up to a maximum of $500 in any given year. This substantially undermines the County’s Land Use Codes because the maximum allowable fine amount is insufficient to deter owners who rent out their properties for commercial purposes when the profit margin for violating the local ordinance is much greater. For example, a large commercial wedding with over 300 guests was held on residential property without the benefit of permits. Permit review would have addressed public safety and traffic concerns for an event of this magnitude. In this case, the County was only allowed to fine this owner $100 for this purposeful violation. This amount is insufficient to deter property owners who can easily absorb this as part of their routine business operating expense.

Redrafting the applicable state codes to enable the local agency more discretion to impose appropriate fines, and the collection and enforcement of those fines, would greatly enhance the land use and building code enforcement program in Santa Barbara County.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:
☐ Funding Request ☑ Legislative Proposal ☑ Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The County requests its delegation to support legislation that would provide the County with more local control and discretion over fines imposed for violations of the County’s Land Use and Development Codes. For violations where a permit is available, the County requests that fines imposed be set at the discretion of the local agency, and up to ten times the maximum amount of permit fees allowable under the agency’s adopted fee schedules. Where a permit is not available for the offending activity, the fines should be set at no less than $1,000 per violation, with a maximum amount to be set at the discretion of the local agency not to exceed $25,000. Property owners will continue to have rights to appeal any fines imposed and the appeal hearing officer will continue to have the discretion to waive, reduce, or modify the fine. In addition, the one year timeframe should be stricken from the Government
Code, or in the alternative, be increased to a five year timeframe, so that fines may further deter repeat offenders.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Revised legislation of applicable state law would provide the County with more effective tools to gain compliance from those who violate our County's land use codes. Increased penalties for one-time violations would be a greater disincentive and promote greater compliance with County ordinances. This would ensure that the County's land use codes are enforced thereby ensuring that the County's policies regarding land use and development continue to be met.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
No direct costs to the government are anticipated.

CONTACT
Glenn S. Russell, PhD., Director, Planning and Development Department, (805) 568-2085
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
REGIONAL COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECTS - FEDERAL AUTHORITY FOR CALIFORNIA

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Our coast provides enormous recreational and economic benefits and houses a massive range of ecological resources. However, the California Coast is under severe threat from:

- Coastal erosion
- Storm damage
- Coastal environmental impacts
- Ability to maintain navigation channels
- Sea level rise

Regional Sediment Management solutions to these threats include:

- Recognize coastal sediment as a natural resource
- Maintaining and enhancing the natural sand (sediment) supply in the coast
- Ensuring regular small-harbor dredging and improving the beneficial reuse of sediment (i.e., use of dredged sand from harbors)
- Linking coastal sand needs with availability
- Undertake beach nourishment projects and consider other innovative technologies to maintain our coastal beaches

However, with the exception of harbor dredging, there are no specific Federal Authorities in place designed to fund Regional Sediment Management Projects that can result in a systems approach to a sustainable and resilient California Coast. Over the past eight years, nine separate regions along the California Coast have prepared or are preparing Regional Sediment Management Plans that define appropriate projects and policies to address regional challenges. As a result, California is ready to act.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:

☐ Funding Request  ☐ Legislative Proposal  ☒ Targeted Advocacy

Action:

Establish Federal Authority that is consistent with the US Army’s Corps of Engineers (USACE) missions of coastal risk reduction, ecosystem restoration and navigation and that will fund Regional Sediment Management projects on the California Coast. The purpose of the Federal Authority will be to:

- Implement coastal projects that restore, maintain, and enhance the natural flow of sediments to the coast,
- Ensure regular small-harbor dredging,
- Improve beneficial use of sediment from dredging operations,
- Link coastal sand needs with availability,
- Implement beach nourishment and consider the use of innovative technologies to maintain California’s coastal beaches, and
- Implement coastal wetlands and coastal dunes restoration projects.
PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
The 1,100 mile California Coast is one of the most prized natural resources in the nation. It provides enormous recreational and economic benefits and includes an extensive array of ecological resources. However, this vital resource is under constant threat from upland and coastal development, ocean pollution, rising sea-levels, increasingly frequent intense coastal storms, and a reduction in the natural sediment supply. To address these threats, Coastal Regional Sediment Management Plans funded through the USACE and cost shared with the State have resulted in a comprehensive and integrated approach for resolving coastal issues in California.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The total projected cost to implement the BEACON Plan is $175M over 20 to 30 years.

CONTACT
Glenn S. Russell, PhD., Director, Planning and Development Department, (805) 568-2085
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
PUBLIC HEARING NOTICES

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Existing state law requires that when a public hearing requires notice, the notice must be published in at least one general circulation local newspaper and mailed to the owner, nearby property owners, and agencies that will serve the project at least ten days prior to the hearing. When the number of owners requiring notice exceeds 1,000 a display newspaper ad may be used. While mailed notices are a very effective means of notification, notices published in newspapers are generally not effective and becoming less so every year. Circulation and readership of newspapers continues to decline and few, if any, members of the general public learn of public hearings through notices published in newspapers. A much more effective means of informing the public of hearings would be through the internet. The use of the internet continues to grow, with estimates of 80-90% of the public having access to the internet at home or work, in libraries, schools, internet cafes, and other public venues. Local agencies should be given the option of publishing notices for public hearings either in newspapers or by posting them on their official agency website, or both. That would provide the flexibility to local agencies to choose the manner of noticing public hearings that is most effective for local conditions. Although the primary issue is the most effective means of noticing hearings, it is also true that publishing notices in general circulation newspapers is very costly, with very little return for the expense. This results in unnecessary costs for applicants who pay for all the noticing for their permit projects and the expenditure of general funds for local agency projects.

Making relatively minor changes to state code Sections 65090-65096 would be an operational enhancement that would better achieve the goal of informing the public about hearings than current requirements.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: □Funding Request □Legislative Proposal □Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The County requests its delegation to support legislation that would provide the County with the option of posting notices for public hearings on the official County website instead of in newspapers. This would apply both to notices required by Government Code 65090(a) and display adds required by Government Code 65091(a)(4).

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Revised legislation of applicable state law would provide the County with a more effective means of informing the public about hearings which require notice. It would increase public participation in and the transparency of local land use decisions as well as policy and ordinance development. A better informed public will be a more engaged public.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
No direct costs to the government are anticipated. In addition, the proposed change to state law would result in significant savings for land use permit applicants and local jurisdictions.

CONTACT
Glenn S. Russell, PhD., Director, Planning and Development Department, (805) 568-2085
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
AIR QUALITY – MARINE VESSEL EMISSIONS

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
In Santa Barbara County, the thousands of marine vessels that travel along the 130 miles coastline produce significant emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), particulates, air toxics, and greenhouse gases. The Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District has estimated that more than half of the smog-forming NOx emissions in the County are from marine vessels transiting the Santa Barbara Channel (Channel). Emissions threaten air quality and public health and constrain the County’s ability to meet federal and state standards pertaining to ozone and particulates. In the summer of 2014, The Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District worked with partners to institute a small-scale trial incentive program to reduce ship speeds in the Channel to gather data and help establish the foundation for a larger scale program.

While progress has been made in efforts such as the trial program, and in achieving regulations such as the California Air Resources Board fuel rule, and engine and fuel rules associated with the International Maritime Organization (IMO) designating 200 miles off the coast of North America as an Emission Control Area (ECA), there are four areas that still need to be addressed namely:
1. Responding to challenges to the ECA and its rules that need to be addressed by the U.S. Delegation to the IMO
2. Efforts to reduce emission from existing engines
3. Quantifying air quality impacts associated with the existing shipping lanes in the Channel and
4. Identifying funding and/or regulatory options to implement a large-scale vessel speed reduction plan in the Channel to reduce ship speeds down to 12 knots or less, providing air quality (and whale-protection) benefits.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: ☐ Funding Request ☐ Legislative Proposal ☒ Targeted Advocacy

Action:
The County requests its delegation support efforts to reduce emissions from marine vessels through securing economic incentives to accelerate the retrofit of engines and funding for research and development of new technologies and strategies to control shipping emissions. The County also requests its delegation support efforts related to analyzing the current shipping lanes and traffic north and south of the Channel Islands and the speed at which vessels travel, and to support funding for a large-scale vessel speed reduction program in the Santa Barbara Channel. Such a program could be structured as a voluntary incentive program (with an identified source of funding, for example, State Cap-and-Trade auction revenues). Implementing a full-scale vessel speed reduction program in the Santa Barbara Channel of 12 knots or less could reduce emissions from these large marine vessels and reduce lethality of ship strikes on endangered whale species.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Ships contribute to worldwide emissions of nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, sulfur, air toxics, and greenhouse gases. These emissions represent a serious threat to air quality and public health. Moreover, local control is diminished as federal and state laws (Federal and California Clean Air Acts) require adherence to air quality standards and local jurisdictions have limited authority over regulating shipping vessels. Ship speed reduction to 12 knots will reduce emissions of all pollutants by up to 50% annually and would increase the protection of endangered whales and other marine mammals.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
There may be indirect costs to local governments that are required to maintain federal and state standards for air quality and greenhouse gas reductions, despite having no local control over shipping vessels’ emissions.

CONTACT
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
COMPREHENSIVE IMMIGRATION REFORM

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The challenges associated with our nation’s broken immigration system has been an ongoing issue that has impacted all levels of our government, multiple sectors of the economy, wage-earners, taxpayers and families across the country. In many communities across the nation, including Santa Barbara County, we have seen the impacts of a broken immigration system on local communities, especially the agricultural industry that makes up a major part of the local economy. Various proposals for immigration reform have been discussed in recent years but have not resulted in the adoption of any legislation. The possibility of a bipartisan comprehensive immigration reform solution has created the opportunity for advocacy.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: □ Funding Request □ Legislative Proposal □ Targeted Advocacy
Action:
The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors urges Congress and the President to enact comprehensive immigration reform this year that:
- Secures the borders of the United States;
- Includes a national strategy for coordination among federal, state local and tribal authorities;
- Establishes a sensible and orderly guest worker program;
- Imposes no unfunded mandates on state and local governments;
- Includes no mandates on counties to enforce immigration laws;
- Preserves the eligibility of legal non-citizens for federal-funded health benefits and provides sustainable funding streams to counties for their cost of providing health services to legal non-citizens who are denied federal-funded health benefits;
- Establishes an earned path to citizenship that includes registering, background checks, demonstrating employment, learning English and civics, paying back taxes and fees that may be required;
- Improves and simplifies the current legal immigration system, and
- Provides green cards for science, technology, engineering and mathematics students who have received a graduate degree from American universities.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Legal immigrants, refugees, undocumented individuals and others enter and remain in this country as a result federal action or inaction. Our current immigration system is confusing and complicated. Communities would benefit economically from comprehensive immigration reform based on the framework identified above.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
While immigration is a federal responsibility, counties are directly affected by immigration. Counties provide health, education and public safety to all residents, regardless of immigration status. Immigrants and their families, regardless of whether they are authorized or unauthorized to be in this country, contribute to the local economy. As an employer, the County may be impacted by the backlog of employer visas.

CONTACT
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
TRIBAL GAMING COMPACTS & LAND USE

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The County of Santa Barbara supports government-to-government relations that recognize the role and unique interests of tribes, states, counties, and other local governments to protect all members of their communities and to provide governmental services and infrastructure beneficial to all. In addition, the County recognizes and respects the tribal right of self-governance to provide for tribal members and to preserve traditional tribal culture and heritage. In similar fashion, the County recognizes and promotes self-governance by counties to provide for the health, safety, and general welfare of all members of our communities. In order to provide for full participation by all community members, the County supports the full involvement of local government agencies on issues and activities taking place on tribal fee or trust lands which may create impacts to public health, safety or the environment.

The County of Santa Barbara recognizes that gaming on tribal land in California is governed by a unique structure that combines federal, state, and tribal law. While the impacts of gaming fall primarily on local communities and governments, policy is largely directed and controlled at the state and federal level. However, consistent with the legislative platform adopted by the California Association of Counties (CSAC), many impacted counties find that the compacts as well as distribution of funds via the Special Distribution Fund (SDF) fail to adequately address these impacts and/or to provide meaningful and enforceable mechanisms to prevent or mitigate impacts.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: Funding Request, Legislative Proposal, Targeted Advocacy
Action:
Support the restoration of full funding of the county share as a direct contribution of the annual Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund with letters of support, as well as, the appearance and testimony of County lobbyists.

In the spirit of developing and continuing government-to-government relationships between federal, tribal, state, and local governments; the County of Santa Barbara will coordinate work with CSAC, NACo, and the legislative delegation to improve existing and future Compact language in the following areas:

1. A Tribal Government constructing or expanding a casino or other related businesses or development that impacts off-reservation land will seek review and approval of the local jurisdiction to construct off-reservation improvements consistent with state law and local ordinances including the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).
2. A Tribal Government operating a casino or other related businesses or development will mitigate all off-reservation impacts caused by project. In order to ensure consistent regulation, public participation, and maximum environmental protection, Tribes will promulgate and publish environmental protection laws that are at least as stringent as those of the surrounding local community and comply with CEQA.
3. A Tribal Government operating a casino or other related businesses or development will be subject to the authority of a local jurisdiction over health and safety issues including, but not limited to, water service, sewer service, fire inspection and protection, rescue/ambulance service, food inspection, and law enforcement, and reach written agreement on such points.
4. A Tribal Government operating a casino or other related businesses or development will pay to the local jurisdiction the Tribe's fair share of appropriate costs for local government services. These services include, but are not limited to, water, sewer, fire inspection and protection, rescue/ambulance, food inspection, health and social services, law enforcement, roads, transit, flood control, and other public infrastructure.
5. The Indian Gaming Special Distribution Fund, created by Section 5 of the Tribal-State Compact will not be the exclusive source of mitigation, but will ensure that counties receive some funding to mitigate off-reservation impacts caused by tribal gaming.

The County of Santa Barbara continues to support the policy and platform positions of CSAC and NACo stating that judiciously enforceable agreements between counties and tribal governments must be required in order to ensure that potential impacts resulting from projects are fully analyzed and mitigated to the satisfaction of the surrounding local governments. These agreements would fully mitigate local impacts from a tribal government’s business and development activities and fully identify the governmental services to be provided by the county to that tribe.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Involvement of the local government, general public and technical consultants in matters pertaining to future land use and potential development is critical to the overall review of any project to ensure compliance with Community Plans and the County’s General Plan. Failure to fully engage critical stakeholders in project development and review impairs the ability of a local government to seek appropriate mitigation and/or provide critical public services which may have long term impacts on a region as a whole.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
The County of Santa Barbara previously enjoyed a distribution from the SDF of approximately $1.4 million annually. Recent reports from the state Legislative Analysts Office and Controllers office indicate that funds are unlikely to be available for gaming impact mitigation grants. These funds were previously utilized to fund fire, law enforcement, and capital projects. In addition development which occurs on lands taken fee to trust are taken off property tax rolls thus critical revenue to address service and infrastructure related impacts are lost to a local government in perpetuity.

CONTACT
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
ARTS COMMISSION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The role of Arts and Culture in the community is not appreciated as a force in developing economic vitality. Recent studies and arts-grant funding initiatives at the National and State level are helping to demonstrate vital impact arts & culture have on the economy and on civic engagement.

Arts as a tool for creating economic vitality and stimulating job growth
Nationally, Creative Placemaking has proven to be invaluable as a tool in stimulating and creating economic development, job growth, neighborhood revitalization, greater vitality, while building safer communities and neighborhoods.

Creating a workforce for the 21st Century- Support for Arts Education in Schools
Studies have proven that workforce needs are dramatically shifting. The Business Sector and companies are looking for employees with new skill sets that focus on “out of the box” thinking and solutions and workers that do well as part of a team. Studies show that arts in schools help develop these skills and better prepare youth for the job market and new career paths. Students exposed to arts in school stay in school and are less likely to drop out.

Arts as a tool for addressing Social Service Issues:
A number of recent initiatives are employing arts to solve social issues. Examples are programs that engage veterans and their families in arts programs and arts in prison that are aimed to decrease recidivism.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: □ Funding Request ❑ Legislative Proposal ❑ Targeted Advocacy

Action:
Support legislative efforts to increase funding support for the National Endowment for the Arts and the California Arts Council in particular. Both agencies are committed to supporting the Arts and increasing access to the arts for all. A number of initiatives and grants focus on the arts as a tool for creating economic vitality. Additionally, each do Economic Impact Studies and research to indicate the economic impact of art and culture which have been powerful tools in shaping public opinion and policy.

- Support for Arts Education in the Schools/Preparing next generation workforce
- Support for Public Art Programs and Creative Placemaking grant opportunities that link the public and private sectors, and programs that link Arts to addressing Social Services (ie. Arts linked to veteran's families, people with disabilities and, the incarcerated.)

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
- Quality of life and lifelong learning
- Building alliances between the public and private sector
- Increased access to art results in community engagement
- Public spaces and parks activated through the arts /Increased public safety
- Preserving cultural assets and practices and increasing cross-cultural understanding
- Providing youth with opportunities and skills for careers to meet a changing workforce

COST TO GOVERNMENT
Grant funds from National Endowment for the Arts and California Arts Council (CAC) are used to attract private sector support and to leverage matching public sector funds. The Arts Commission's
recently awarded $43,500 California Creative Community Grant for the LightWorks Isla Vista Project in collaboration with UCSB Arts Department, and IV Parks & Recreation is an example.

CONTACT
George Chapjian, Director, Community Services Department, (805) 568-2467
Terri Maus-Nilisch, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
SAFETY NET PRESERVATION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
According to 2013 Census figures, in Santa Barbara County 68,116 people (16% of residents) are still living below the federal poverty level (compared to 11.9% in 2007). There are approximately 19,866 (21%) of the County’s children living in poverty (compared to 13.5% in 2007). Our poverty rates reflect the impacts of a weakened economy and the slow and prolonged recovery from the Great Recession, and drastic cuts in health and human services programs at the State and Federal levels. All of these factors have disproportionately affected low income seniors as well as children and families, leaving their “basic” needs of food, shelter, personal and financial security, health and welfare at risk of being unmet and leading to food insecurity, diminished health, and homelessness.

For those workers and their families who are getting by living paycheck to paycheck with little to no assets to fall back on, the loss of a job or serious illness can plunge their families into poverty. Many will turn to government-run safety net programs for help. Unfortunately, cumulative cuts to county-administered health and human services programs add up to a loss of more than $2.4 billion in state and federal funds since June 2001. Additionally, nationwide, food stamp benefits saw a $5 billion reduction in November 2013 when Congress allowed a recession-era boost to expire. The shrinking public safety net has put extreme pressure on underfunded faith-based and community-based organizations to “catch” these needy families. If the safety net, “public” or “non-public,” cannot respond to the growing need to temporarily assist those in need until their economic conditions improve, more residents will fall into poverty.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:
☐ Funding Request  ☐ Legislative Proposal  ☑ Targeted Advocacy

Action: In order to preserve the safety net for the County’s most vulnerable citizens we request that its delegation advocate to preserve existing safety net program funding levels at both the State and Federal levels and restore cuts to safety net programs in future budgets.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Hunger and malnutrition exacerbate chronic and acute diseases and speed the onset of degenerative diseases among the elderly, which affects their quality of life and increases the cost of caring for them. Children who are hungry or sick cannot learn, and may fail to reach their full potential, leading to an uneducated future workforce who compromise future personal self-sufficiency and economic competitiveness. Residents that do not qualify for Medi-Cal expansion of coverage or unable to pay the insurance premium through Covered California continue to be without health insurance and turn to emergency rooms, which shifts the burden and cost of health care to local communities. They may forego preventive or basic care, which increases the risk they will need more expensive care in the future. Without child care subsidies, low income working parents may be forced to quit their jobs as the costs of child care becomes too expensive and staying home to provide child care affects their ability to maintain their employment and self-sufficiency.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
Poverty imposes enormous costs on society and can have devastating implications on the short-term and long-term economic vitality of Santa Barbara County. The long-term economic impacts of poverty include the lost potential of children raised in poor households. The short-term impacts include lower productivity,
earning, and purchasing power of poor adults, poor health, increased crime, and broken-down neighborhoods.

CONTACT
Daniel Nielson, Director/Department of Social Services (805) 681-4451
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3412
SENIOR SERVICES-OLDER AMERICANS ACT REAUTHORIZATION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The Older Americans Act provides federal funding to Area Agencies on Aging (AAA's) to help low-income seniors live independently in their communities through supportive, nutrition and health promotion programs and services. According to 2013 Census data there are over 59,000 seniors age 65 and older within the County of Santa Barbara (14% of the County's population). This number is expected to double by the year 2050 placing additional demands on a variety of health and human services programs. Key issues facing seniors within the County are in-home care housing as well as public assistance needs for those that live below the poverty level. Therefore, it is imperative to ensure that the services and programs currently in place, are reauthorized to meet the growing population and needs of low-income seniors.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:
☐ Funding Request ☐ Legislative Proposal ☑ Targeted Advocacy

Action: That the County work with its legislative delegation and key representatives to support reauthorization of the Older Americans Act and increase funding for senior related services. Support critical components of the Older American's Act which promotes the health and well-being of low-income seniors by providing funding for Area Agencies on Aging for nutrition services and prevention and health promotion services enhancing the quality of life of low-income seniors.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
While seniors are the fastest growing sector of the population, little funding is provided to address overall spectrum of needs faced by individuals as they age. Without assistance, seniors often lack the ability to remain in their own homes and thus must resort to assisted living facilities at extraordinary costs or rely on family members and professional or informal caregivers for continued care. In addition, as a result of increasing medical needs, seniors utilize emergency rooms and public clinics at an increasing rate as a result of lack of appropriate health coverage. A comprehensive program of safety net services provided via community providers and governmental agencies, provides for the opportunity for seniors to remain independent for a longer period and provides for the spectrum of health and human services needs required to age with dignity.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
For many older adults, a few hours a week of in-home services provided by the Older Americans Act can delay or prevent costly nursing home care for low-income seniors who are not eligible for In-Home Supportive Services administered by the Department of Social Services. Evidence confirms that good nutrition is important in maintaining the health and functional independence of older adults. It can reduce costly hospital admissions and delay nursing home placement. A majority of older adults in the US have diabetes, hypertension, high cholesterol or a combination of these chronic conditions. These conditions can be successfully managed with appropriate nutrition interventions that will improve health and quality of life. Left unchecked, these conditions result in increased costs.
CONTACT
Daniel Nielson, Director/Department of Social Services, (805) 681-4451
Terri Maus-Nisch, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3412
SOCIAL SERVICES TANF REAUTHORIZATION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
The Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program was founded on the idea that states should have the flexibility to design their programs in a way best suited to their unique populations in order to help families transition from assistance to self-sufficiency. The last reauthorization of TANF, which was part of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, was heavily weighted toward Federal oversight and penalties instead of state flexibility— a change that reflected a level of distrust that was unwarranted given the progress states made in reducing the welfare rolls after TANF was initially implemented. The success of TANF depends on counties' flexibility to target local needs and support participant's work activities. Without that flexibility and the funding to implement it, the working families who are struggling toward self-sufficiency will lose supportive services and many will be unable to successfully end their reliance on cash assistance. Welfare reform is an ongoing process of supporting working families in gaining self-sufficiency, not a one-time removal of families from the welfare rolls.

With congressional reauthorization looming, the county supports flexibility that allows States and counties to meet the individual needs of their caseloads. We support establishing Federal rules that measure the effectiveness of welfare programs by utilizing more outcome-based measures.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy:  
☐ Funding Request  ☐ Legislative Proposal  ☑ Targeted Advocacy

Action: The County requests that its delegation advocate at the Federal level to reauthorize the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program to restore and enhance state and county flexibility to tailor work and support services that move families into self-sufficiency based on their unique needs. TANF Reauthorization must reverse the damaging effects of the 2005 TANF Reauthorization changes to the Work Participation Rates (WPR) formula and definition of “work.” The County is interested in a balanced approach between federal oversight and state flexibility with better and more outcome-based measures of success.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Santa Barbara County continues to struggle with the challenge of complying with Federal regulations and finds it difficult to achieve the federal WPR, placing our county at risk of fiscal sanction. TANF Reauthorization offers an opportunity to change the current one-size fits all Federal rules that penalize States and Counties instead of offering flexibility and incentives gauged toward effective measures of welfare program success.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
Since TANF Reauthorization in 2005, the current required Federal participation rate of 50% has not been met statewide and State Legislation requires that counties participate in the required fiscal sanction. TANF Reauthorization offers an opportunity to change the current one-size fits all Federal rules that penalize States and Counties instead of offering flexibility and incentives gauged toward effective measures of welfare program success.

CONTACT
Daniel Nielson, Director/Department of Social Services, (805) 681-4451
Terri Maus-Nisch, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3412
SUBSIDIZED CHILD CARE

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
As the California legislature responded to the state’s budget deficits over the past 7 years, cuts were made virtually across the board, but subsidized child care services and supports funded through the Department of Education’s Child Development Division (now Early Education Support Services) were reduced disproportionately. These services for low income families include CalWORKs and Alternative Payment child care subsidies, “General Child Care” subsidy contracts with centers and State Preschools, as well as critical support services such as Child Care Planning Council and Children’s Resource and Referral. Without child care subsidies, low income working parents are either forced to quit their jobs or put their children in substandard care which impacts children’s futures and our communities’ viability. And, without adequate investment in the child care infrastructure, providers and parents lack the supports necessary for a strong system. The state legislature is beginning to restore funding and the County is advocating for further restoration of funding to increase quality, child care slots, reimbursement rates and infrastructure.

REQUEST STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: ☐ Funding Request ☐ Legislative Proposal ☑ Targeted Advocacy

Action: The County requests that its delegation advocate at the State level to strategically increase funding for child care subsidies, rates and support services to align with current programming and trends in the early care and education field.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Researchers and economists have documented that high quality early care and education offers a high return on investment ($4.00 to $17.00/per dollar spent), especially for children with risk factors. Reductions in juvenile crime, teen pregnancy, high school drop-out rates and intervention services are well-documented for children who attended such programs. Additionally, the child care industry contributes significantly to the local economy both in their workforce, and the ability for parents to be gainfully employed. Therefore, providing children with a strong start can counteract the negative implications of disadvantaged environments.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
In FY 2014-15 Santa Barbara County received $3,310,139 in stage 1 child care funding, as compared to $3,695,410 in FY 2013-14, translating to a 10% decrease in funding. In addition to the decreased funding, in October 2015, the Regional Market Rate will increase 4.5% for child care reimbursements. This will result in additional expenditures for the CalWORKs and Alternative Payment programs.

When former CalWORKs families who cannot work due to the reduction in child care benefits end up back on aid receiving cash benefits, CalFresh benefits, and Medi-Cal, the local economy is impacted. Costs shift from a relatively reasonable cost for keeping people employed to a more expensive model in which the State and Counties pay for people to remain on public assistance. Additionally, reduced access to high quality early care and education services for children at risk will result in higher social service and law enforcement costs in the near future.

CONTACT
Daniel Nielson, Director/Department of Social Services, (805) 681-4451
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant CEO, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3412
Ben Romo, Executive Director, First 5 Santa Barbara County, (805) 884-8085
TELECOMMUNICATIONS LEGISLATION

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

Section 332(c)(7) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 prevents local governments, including the County of Santa Barbara, from opposing the placement and regulation of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio-frequency emissions to the extent that the proposed facilities comply with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations concerning such emissions. The California Public Utilities Code also limits the authority of local governments to regulate wireless facilities in public rights of way.

There is ongoing debate within the scientific community regarding how thoroughly the long-term health effects of low-frequency electromagnetic and radio-frequency emissions are understood. Questions remain regarding how well the existing regulations established by the FCC protect more vulnerable populations such as school-aged children, and how well they protect against the cumulative effect of radio-frequency emissions on people who live or work in close proximity to multiple cellular facilities. Currently, the ability of local governments to include a consideration of the health and environmental effects of these facilities when deciding whether or not to approve the construction or modification of a cellular communications facility is limited. Existing regulations regarding telecommunication facilities also hamper local agencies’ ability to protect the visual quality of their communities. Protecting a high quality visual environment is vitally important to community residents; it is equally important to protect the desirability of an area as a tourist destination.

On November 18, 2009, the Cellular Telecommunications Industry of America (CTIA) petitioned the FCC to make certain declaratory rulings related to the local zoning authority of state and local governments, including requesting the FCC establish a review time of 45 and 75 days for wireless tower siting applications; deem applications granted if a government entity does not adhere to these stipulated timeframes; prohibit state and local governments from considering the presence of service by other carriers in evaluating an additional carrier’s application and preempt any state or local zoning ordinances that require variances for wireless tower siting applications. As a result, the FCC ruled in favor of the CTIA, thus upholding limitations of local government control.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy: □ Funding Request □ Legislative Proposal □ Targeted Advocacy

Action:

The County requests that its delegation seek and support federal legislation to repeal limitations on state and local authority imposed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 that infringe upon the authority of local governments to regulate the placement, construction, and modification of telecommunications towers and other personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the health and environmental effects of these facilities. The County opposes sections of the Act that preempt local control and prevent local governments from considering health effects. The County urges the FCC to work in cooperation with the FDA and other relevant federal agencies to revisit and update studies on potential health concerns arising from wireless emissions in light of the national proliferation of wireless use. In particular, the County believes it is imperative that the FCC undertake longitudinal health studies to determine whether its existing exposure limits are adequate to protect public health. The longitudinal studies should encompass a broad demographic (i.e. children, people with compromised health, etc.) in order to fully protect public health. It is significant to note that the exposure limits allowed by the FCC appear to exceed the levels considered...
permissible by most other countries.\(^1\) Finally, the County requests that the FCC revise the processing deadlines for new telecommunication facilities to insure that local agencies have adequate time to fully evaluate siting and design options to minimize visual impacts and protect a high quality visual environment. Santa Barbara County’s stance advocating for improved local regulation over telecommunications facilities aligns with the position taken on this issue by the National Association of Counties (NACO).\(^2\) NACO’s platform advocates for Counties, as the trustees of public property and as protectors of public safety and welfare, to retain authority over telecommunication facilities. NACO’s platform states that Counties have an obligation to their constituents to ensure that the public health, safety, and welfare are not endangered or otherwise compromised by the construction, modification, or installation of wireless communications facilities. Santa Barbara County shares these concerns and NACO’s advocacy position.

**PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT**

Health advocates have worried for decades that exposure to frequencies emanating from telecommunications sources might be harmful. There are increasing health and environmental effects resulting from the location of certain cell phone towers and antennas, especially in regards to the cumulative effect of radio-frequency emissions on people who live or work in close proximity to multiple cellular facilities. Longitudinal studies need to be conducted to determine whether the exposure levels currently allowed by the FCC are safe or whether they may cause adverse health effects to any population group. Citizens would be better served by allowing local government greater flexibility to regulate the placement of cellular facilities near areas such as residences, schools, daycares, or parks. Longer processing times would give local agencies the opportunity to evaluate alternative locations and designs in order to maximize the protection of a high quality visual environment.

**COST TO GOVERNMENT**

This is largely a regulatory function to allow local governments’ greater discretion to decide how, when, and where cellular facilities should be sited.

**CONTACT**

Glenn S. Russell, PhD., Director, Planning and Development Department, (805)568-2085  
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400

---

\(^1\) See Reference:  

\(^2\) See Reference: The American County Platform and Resolutions 2014-2015, at  
ACCESS TO QUALITY HEALTH SERVICES

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE

One of the most important ways of supporting the health of Santa Barbara County residents is to ensure their access to health care coverage and health care services. With the implementation of the Affordable Care Act, many residents are now eligible for health care coverage for the first time. All health care plans now include some essential benefits like maternity, mental health, preventive, and pediatric dental care. Thus, enrollment in these health plans provides many health benefits. To maintain the health of our residents, we are committed to assisting residents enroll in benefits for which they are eligible, providing health care services through Federally Qualified Health Centers for individuals who receive Medi-Cal or Medicare in addition to those without other coverage options, maintaining infrastructure for a quality health service agency, and pursuing related requirements to receive federal funding for public healthcare organizations.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION

Strategy:

☐ Funding Request  ☐ Legislative Proposal  ☒ Targeted Advocacy

Action:

The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors support measures which establish, enhance or fund policies, programs, research, standards, educational material and public awareness campaigns that prevent disease, promote wellness and ensure access to needed health care.

- Assistance for health care coverage – Support direct assistance with applications and patient education concerning health care coverage, support for renewals and re-application for health benefits, coordination with our county and state partners at the Department of Social Services and Covered California.

- Organizational infrastructure for public healthcare organizations – Support automated systems and staffing that manage, report on and evaluate health care delivery, programs and incentives to maximize the capacity of our systems and coordination of care.

- Provision of essential health care services – Support measures that would provide for the continued expansion of both county and community Federally Qualified Health Clinics (FQHCs) and the provision of quality health care services. Favor approaches such as the Patient Centered Medical Home (PCMH) that promotes partnerships and coordination in the provision of services to patients. Continue to advocate for programs and FQHC payment reform that provides for reimbursement for the necessary wrap around and support services of the treatment team, such as pharmacists and Marriage & Family Therapists (MFTs).

Support should include no decreases in current funding levels in these health related programs.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT

There will be an increase in access to health care coverage and a decrease in morbidity and mortality among residents in Santa Barbara County.

COST TO GOVERNMENT

There is no immediate or additional cost incurred directly.

CONTACT

Takashi Wada, MD, MPH, Director and Health Officer (805) 681-5105
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
HEALTH IN OUR COMMUNITY

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
We can be successful in improving the health of all residents by supporting legislation that prevents chronic disease, prevents the spread of illness, promotes healthy behaviors and promotes wellness. Legislative, policy, environmental changes and education can influence behavior and thus impact health and health outcomes. Three behaviors (poor diet, physical activity levels and tobacco use) contribute to four chronic diseases (vascular disease, cancer, lung disease and type 2 diabetes) that cause more than 50 percent of the deaths in Santa Barbara County. We can directly impact behaviors that drive chronic and communicable diseases and poor health outcomes. We can also directly impact health with access to services, preparedness, and our response to health conditions.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: □ Funding Request □ Legislative Proposal □ Targeted Advocacy
Action:
The Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors support measures which establish, enhance or fund policies, programs, research, standards, educational material and public awareness campaigns that prevent disease, promote wellness and ensure access to needed health care.

- Healthy behaviors and activities to promote wellness - Support programs and funding that encourage physical activity, healthy eating, breastfeeding, healthy parenting practices, healthy and safe foods, and reduce the prevalence of smoking and obesity.

- Disaster preparedness and emergency medical response to protect the community - Support programs and funding that prepare our emergency response procedures, training, and disaster command structures for the entire community including vulnerable populations and medically fragile residents. Support legislation and regulatory measures that improve the quality and delivery of emergency medical services and pre-hospital care.

- Prevention of harmful health conditions and ensures access to health care - Support programs and funding that prevent injuries and violence, prevent the spread of communicable disease, promote infection control and laboratory practices, identify and address environmental conditions that are barriers to health.

Support should minimally include no decreases in current funding levels in these health related programs and measures that maintain or strengthen local agency roles.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
There will be a decrease in disease and illness and a decrease in premature death among residents in Santa Barbara County.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
There is no immediate or additional cost incurred directly.

CONTACT
Takashi Wada, MD, MPH, Director and Health Officer (805) 681-5105
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400
EXTENDED PRODUCER RESPONSIBILITY

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
As supported by the Board of Supervisors in Resolution Number 236 (passed on June 7, 2011), Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) is an alternative approach to materials management that requires manufacturers and retailers to take greater responsibility for reducing the life-cycle impacts of their products and packaging. This is achieved through improved product design — including design for recycling and the elimination or reduction of toxic constituents — and effective end-of-life collection and recycling/disposal programs that are designed, funded, and operated by producers or their associated stewardship organizations.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: □ Funding Request  □ Legislative Proposal  □ Targeted Advocacy
Action:
The County requests that its delegation actively support existing and new legislation to foster EPR programs, either for individual products or through a framework approach.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Among EPR’s many benefits are:

- Reduced costs to local government
- Improved worker safety
- Increased reuse and recycling of materials
- Reduced use of toxic chemicals
- Reduced consumption of energy and natural resources
- Reduced air and water emissions
- Reduced greenhouse gas impacts

COST TO GOVERNMENT
Local governments are currently responsible for providing recycling and disposal programs for unwanted products. Particularly for products containing hazardous materials (e.g. batteries, pesticides, pharmaceuticals, sharps, fluorescent lights, etc.), management costs are very expensive. As EPR programs shift the financial burden of managing products to producers and retailers of those products, local governments achieve significant cost savings and potentially enhanced customer service.

CONTACT
Mark Schleich, Deputy Director, Public Works Department, (805) 882-3603
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805) 568-3400
HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE
Household hazardous waste is a classification of solid waste that includes products that are toxic, flammable, corrosive, or reactive. Common examples are paint, used motor oil, antifreeze, batteries, pesticides, herbicides, fertilizers, solvents, cleaners, mercury-containing devices like thermostats and fluorescent lights, and electronic devices such as old computers, televisions, and cell phones. Because of their hazardous nature, these products must be handled separately when consumers wish to dispose of them. Local governments are tasked with providing collection and disposal/recycling opportunities, which may include permanent collection centers, temporary collection events, or curbside collection services. All of these programs, which include considerable public outreach and education, are costly to operate.

REQUESTED STRATEGY AND ACTION
Strategy: □ Funding Request □ Legislative Proposal □ Targeted Advocacy
Action:
The County requests that its delegation actively support existing and new legislation that will help local governments sustainably and cost-effectively manage household hazardous wastes. Examples of such legislation include mandated Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) programs for individual products or groups of products, and establishment of grant programs or other funding mechanisms to assist local hazardous waste collection programs.

PUBLIC BENEFIT/IMPACT
Household hazardous waste programs keep dangerous and potentially harmful substances out of our environment. Additionally, some types of hazardous wastes, like paint, motor oil, and old electronics, can be recycled into new products, thereby reducing energy usage and the demand for virgin materials.

COST TO GOVERNMENT
Household hazardous wastes are very expensive to dispose of. In some cases, the cost of disposal is higher than a product’s purchase price. Furthermore, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control continually evaluates and classifies new products as hazardous, adding to local government’s programmatic and financial responsibilities. Legislation that would alleviate these burdens would significantly reduce costs.

CONTACT
Mark Schleich, Deputy Director, Public Works Department, (805) 882-3603
Terri Maus-Nisich, Assistant County Executive Officer, County Executive Office, (805)568-3400