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Williams Introduces Land Use Enforcement Bill

SACRAMENTO — Assemblymember Das Williams (D-Carpinteria) today introduced Assembly Bill 514, which would provide counties with more local control and discretion over fines imposed for violations of the County’s Land Use and Development Codes.

“AB 514 will promote greater compliance with County ordinances by enabling the local agency to impose appropriate and proportional fines, and ensure the County’s land use codes are enforced while keeping the local environment intact,” said Assemblymember Williams.

Specifically, AB 514 gives local governments the authority to raise local fines for violators of land use codes who did not obtain a permit where one is available. These land use codes focus on large events or the building of structures which would alter the local landscape. The current maximum allowable fine amount is insufficient to deter violators of the Land Use Enforcement Codes, and allows entities to visit a County without being appropriately accountable to land use codes.

In the case of Santa Barbara County, there was a large commercial wedding for out of town residents who had the means to go through the permit process. The event included over 300 guests on a residential property that did not have the benefit of permits. Permit review would have addressed public safety and traffic concerns for an event of this magnitude. In this case, the County was only allowed to fine this owner $100 for the purposeful violation. This amount is insufficient to deter property owners who can easily absorb this as part of their routine business operating expense.

AB 514 is sponsored by the County of Santa Barbara who believes that increased penalties for one-time violations would be a greater disincentive and promote greater compliance with County ordinances. AB 514 ensures that the County’s land use codes are enforced thereby ensuring that the County’s policies regarding land use and development continue to be met.

Website: www.asm.ca.gov/Williams

###
An act to amend Sections 25132 and 36900 of the Government Code, relating to local government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL’S DIGEST

AB 514, as introduced, Williams. Ordinances: violations: fines.
Existing law authorizes the legislative body of a city, county, or city and county to collect any fee, cost, or charge incurred in specified activities, including the abatement of public nuisances, enforcement of specified zoning ordinances, inspections and abatement of violations of the State Housing Law, inspections and abatement of violations of the California Building Standards Code, and inspections and abatement of violations related to local ordinances that implement these laws. Existing law limits the amount of this fee, cost, or charge to the actual cost incurred performing the inspections and enforcement activity, including permit fees, fines, late charges, and interest.

Existing law authorizes the legislative body of a local agency to make, by ordinance, any violation of an ordinance subject to an administrative fine or penalty and limits the maximum fine or penalty amounts for infractions, as specified. For violations of city or county building and safety codes determined to be an infraction, existing law limits the amount of the fine to $100 for a first violation, $500 for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year, and $1,000 for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
This bill would eliminate these fine amounts for violations of local building and safety code ordinances determined to be an infraction and instead require a maximum fine or penalty amount for a violation of those local building and safety ordinances to be established by ordinance that is subject to specified requirements. By requiring cities and counties to establish these fine or penalty amounts by ordinance, the bill would impose a state mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement. This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.


The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 25132 of the Government Code is amended to read:

25132. (a) Violation of a county ordinance is a misdemeanor unless by ordinance it is made an infraction. The violation of a county ordinance may be prosecuted by county authorities in the name of the people of the State of California, or redressed by civil action.

(b) Every violation determined to be an infraction is punishable by (1) a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars ($100) for a first violation; (2) a fine not exceeding two hundred dollars ($200) for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year; (3) a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a violation of a local building and safety codes ordinance determined to be an infraction is punishable by (1) a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars ($100) for a first violation; (2) a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500) for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year; (3) a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars ($1,000) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within
one year of the first violation. A fine established by ordinance that
is subject to all of the following requirements:
(1) The amount of the fine does not exceed the amount of the
permit fee required by the local building and safety ordinance
multiplied by 10 or, in the absence of a permit fee, one thousand
dollars ($1,000).
(2) The amount of the fine is based upon the severity of the
threat to public health and safety.
(3) The amount of the fine for a second violation of the same
ordinance within five years of the first violation is greater than
the amount of the fine for the first violation. The amount of the
fine for the third violation of the same ordinance within five years
of the first violation is greater than the amount of the second
violation.
SEC. 2. Section 36900 of the Government Code is amended
to read:
36900. (a) Violation of a city ordinance is a misdemeanor
unless by ordinance it is made an infraction. The violation of a
city ordinance may be prosecuted by city authorities in the name
of the people of the State of California, or redressed by civil action.
(b) Every violation determined to be an infraction is punishable
by (1) a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars ($100) for a first
violation; (2) a fine not exceeding two hundred dollars ($200) for
a second violation of the same ordinance within one year; (3) a
fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500) for each additional
violation of the same ordinance within one year.
(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a violation of
a local building and safety codes ordinance determined to be an
infraction is punishable by (1) a fine not exceeding one hundred
dollars ($100) for a first violation; (2) a fine not exceeding five
hundred dollars ($500) for a second violation of the same ordinance
within one year; (3) a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars
($1,000) for each additional violation of the same ordinance within
one year of the first violation. A fine established by ordinance that
is subject to all of the following requirements:
(1) The amount of the fine does not exceed the amount of the
permit fee required by the local building and safety ordinance
multiplied by 10 or, in the absence of a permit fee, one thousand
dollars ($1,000).
(2) The amount of the fine is based upon the severity of the threat to public health and safety.

(3) The amount of the fine for a second violation of the same ordinance within five years of the first violation is greater than the amount of the fine for the first violation. The amount of the fine for the third violation of the same ordinance within five years of the first violation is greater than the amount of the second violation.

SEC. 3. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.