

Draft Meeting Minutes PROPOSITION 84 MEETING
Thursday, June 11, 2009
9:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.

Location: Granada Garage, 2nd Floor Conference Room, 1221 Anacapa Street, Santa Barbara,
CA 93101

Conference Phone Number: 681.5400

Passcode: 455139

Attendees

Deborah Slaughter, League of Women Voters, Santa Barbara Chapter; Jim McManus, Summerland Sanitary District; Joe Barget, Vandenberg Village Community Services District; Andrew Dudley, CCWA; Matt Naftaly, Santa Barbara County Water Agency; Tom Fayram, Santa Barbara County Water Agency; Shruti Ramaker, Casmalia Community Services District; Marti Schultz, City of Goleta; Tom Conti, City of Santa Barbara; Gary McFarland, Goleta Water District; Teresa Reyburn, City of Santa Maria; Mauricio Gomez, South Coast Habitat Restoration; Craig Murray, Carpinteria Sanitary District; Kate Rees, COMB/CCRB; Lee Moldaver, SBAS CPA GEC NC Coalition; Kathy Caldwell, CH2MHill; Tom Evans, Dudek; John Brady, Central Coast Water Authority; Hillary Hauser, Heal the Ocean; Brian Haggerty, Surfrider; Kathleen Werner, Goleta Sanitary District; Jane Gray; Dudek

On the Conference Call

Tully Clifford, City of Solvang; Teresa Reyburn, City of Santa Maria; Rose Hess, City of Buellton

Proceedings

The meeting began at 9:07 and was called to order by Matt Naftaly. There was no public comment and the meeting minutes for the May 11, 2009 meeting were approved. Mr. Naftaly turned the meeting over to Tom Fayram who spoke about leadership on Prop 84 and Kevin Walsh's absence due to family emergencies. Mr. Fayram said that the Water Agency was in contact with Kevin and that they would keep the Partners up to date on potential future leadership issues.

Mr. Naftaly then discussed the outstanding signed MOUs and payments to the County. He also announced that the County would be sending out invoices and also be sending out progress reports to give partners an overview of the process, milestones, goals in the future, and in general, a status update so that partners can report to their governing bodies. Mr. Naftaly also gave the partners a status report on the budget and contract statuses of all consultants working on Prop 84. He noted that there were still funds for Dudek and Kevin Walsh and recommended a contract extension for the services of Kathy Caldwell of CH2MHill. There was a brief discussion about extending the contract and then it was unanimously approved.

Matt Naftaly then discussed the membership of the Steering Committee and the roles and responsibilities associated with committee membership. These responsibilities include providing leadership for the group, taking an active role in guiding policy and decision-making, organizing in sub-regions and conducting outreach. It was explained that the steering committee also included NGOs. There was expressed concern that stakeholders, cooperating partners and

NGOs were not well defined in the MOU and it was requested that these terms be clearly defined. There was also concern expressed about what NGOs were and how they were organized. It was agreed that there would be follow-up on this topic. Further, there was discussion on updating the MOU.

Mr. Naftaly asked Ms. Gray to discuss the timeline for the Expedited Round of Prop 84. Ms. Caldwell also addressed this topic of expedited funding. A question was raised as to the status of Prop 84 funds and the status of Prop 50 funds. Lee Moldaver spoke about his impressions of the State's funding crisis and how they may proceed forward. A conversation then ensued regarding the amount of money available in the expedited round. Ms. Ramaker indicated that Casmalia was interested in seeking funding in the expedited round and explained the project that Casmalia CSD was interested in having funded. Ms. Ramaker also stated that the City of Lompoc was interested in the expedited round and had a project. Mr. Barget explained what the project would be. In response, many agencies began explaining their potential projects for funding. These included projects from: 1) CCWA.; 2) CCRB and potential partnership with South Coast Habitat Restoration; 3) Goleta Water District; 4) Goleta Sanitary District; 5) City of Santa Maria; 6) Summerland Sanitary District; 7) City of Goleta; 8) City of Santa Barbara; and 9) Santa Barbara County Flood Control. A large group discussion followed regarding the possibility and advisability of seeking funding under the expedited round. Mr. McFarland brought up the topic of talking with other regions in the funding area and coordinating with these entities toward potentially making an agreement and sharing the amount allocated for the region. Ms. Caldwell addressed this by stating that there had been precedent for such agreements. She also touched on the types of projects that were being targeted for Prop 84 funding and about how important the selection process was. In addition, she also mentioned that projects being proposed would need to be fully articulated and well thought through in order to be considered. She suggested that in the coming meetings that the group come to consensus on the tool or method for project selection and also suggested a mock project selection process.

Mr. Naftaly spoke about the recent meeting that members of the steering committee, consultants and the water agency had to discuss potential projects in the Rincon Creek Watershed and in collaboration efforts in the future.

Ms. Caldwell gave a brief presentation of the power point presentation that was being drafted for the interview with DWR. Mr. Naftaly announced that the RAP Interview questions from DWR would be circulated to everyone and that everyone could comment on them. It was also decided that the next meeting would be July 23, 2009 in the afternoon at CCWA in Buellton and that there would be a steering committee meeting prior to that.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:05.